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Light-trains: An Integrated Optical-Wireless Solution for High Bandwidth Applications in High-Speed Metro-Trains

Abstract: Moving trains represent a voluminous mass of users moving at high velocities that require bandwidth (on demand). A new solution is proposed that facilitates dynamic provisioning, good scalability and efficient use of available bandwidth. The proposed solution (light-trains) seamlessly integrates optical and wireless networking to provide broadband access to users in moving trains. The solution identifies the set of requirements – such as fast hand-off, low-cost of deployment, mature technology and ability to provide dynamic bandwidth provisioning. A protocol that enables end-to-end provisioning across the three domains of technology aka light-trails, WiMax and WiFi is also proposed. The proposed protocol is a cornerstone mechanism for providing inter-domain (technology) connectivity in a pragmatic way. Different aspects of the protocol are considered, amongst which delay and efficiency are analyzed and computed. The protocol and system requirements juxtaposed are simulated extensively. Results pertaining to utilization, delay, efficiency and network wide performance are all showcased. Viability of the model in being able to provide bandwidth to moving users is shown.

Key words: light-trails, moving trains, bandwidth-on-demand, cross medium communications.

I. INTRODUCTION

The growth and spread of the Internet and other web services into the wireless domain has facilitated ubiquity and mobility for end-users. A significant number of the end-users that make use of bandwidth intensive applications are in mass-transportation systems. In order to provide broadband to users within the domain of a mass transportation system, it is imperative to have a wireless access mechanism that is further bolstered by a high-speed and dynamic wired backbone solution.

The metro train represents a popular form of mass-transportation system in either its underground or over-bridge manifestation. (1) There are a large number of bandwidth hungry users within the confinement of a metro train. (2) Such a train represents a single moving entity that requires dynamic bandwidth allocation as it moves through a wireless network. (3) Further, several trains can statistically co-exist on the same track. This makes the bandwidth allocation problem along a track complex in lieu of the limited bandwidth-distance product offered by wireless. These needs imply added levels of complexity in the design of hand-off mechanisms and in provisioning the underlying “core” network to facilitate multimedia and emerging broadband applications. We propose a mechanism that enables bandwidth-on-demand to trains over a cross-medium architecture comprising of a wireless overlay supported by a metro-core optical network.

End-users within the train receive and transmit data from access points in each coach, and hence are part of a wireless LAN (IEEE 802.11 type). The access points are further connected to an Ethernet aggregation switch in the train. Provisioning the underlying physical layer which is typically an optical network taking into cognizance this moving entity poses a new design problem.

The light-train approach combines the wireless and optical layers to create an efficient solution for bandwidth allocation in trains. To do so, the light-train solution uses a novel optical technology used in conjunction with wireless end-user technologies. The approach proposed by us takes into consideration end-user profile from both a service requirement as well as equipment asset perspective. The protocol proposed ensures seamless ubiquity while adhering to the norms set by emerging networking services. Our approach has practical assumptions – there is no need to upgrade equipment at the end-users (who continue to use well-disseminated WiFi technology). Equipment is only upgraded at the network side.

This work is an extension of [12] – where we proposed the concept of bandwidth to moving trains. This work further enhances that concept providing a detailed stochastic model in addition to rigorous simulations. We propose the light-trains model as an engineering solution for providing bandwidth on demand to moving trains. In Section II, we discuss the system design of the light-trains model. Section III showcases how the legacy IP network reacts/interfaces to our light-train model. Section IV discusses the principles of the proposed integrated protocol. Section V provides for delay analysis. Section VI compares our model to other related works. Section VII discusses simulation results while Section VIII summarizes the paper.
Consider a train moving along a track. The system is as shown in Fig. 1.

**Wireless Gateways:** As the train travels, it communicates with the rest of the core network through wireless gateways (GW) situated at intervals along the track. The gateways have a limited transmission range and a series of gateways provide bandwidth to a moving train in a concept that is similar to cellular communications. Gateways are positioned one after another separated by a distance that is computed by the transmission range (to prevent Signal-to-Noise Ratio – SNR from degrading below a certain threshold). The distance of coverage of a particular wireless gateway is defined as the geographic range of that gateway. In addition to geographic range, we define the concept of provisioning zone as the overlap area between the geographic ranges of two adjacent Gateways. Trains move from one GW to another in a seamless manner thus maintaining ubiquitous communication. Power based hand-off is the typical technique used for maintaining ubiquity. Hand-off occurs in the provisioning zones. Hand-off and associated methods are defined and explained subsequently in Section IV. B.

The principle requirement on the optical network is of dynamic provisioning of bandwidth to wireless GWs. Data paths must be provisioned to the wireless GWs through the optical network on an on-demand basis to meet the BW and delay constraints of services. This time-sensitive requirement, coupled with the fact that multiple trains will be sharing the same track, implies that pre-provisioning will generally lead to an inefficient bandwidth solution. To enable ubiquity it is necessary that the combined optical + wireless network be able to provide fast hand-off.

For example, cellular hand-off solutions require up to several 100s of milliseconds. Similarly concepts based on moving tunnel approach as shown in [8] require up to 100 milliseconds.

We propose an optically-assisted method for hand-off. The underlying principle utilizes the dual concepts of optical multicasting and out-of-band control (described later).

Another characteristic for cross-medium integration is signaling: wireless GWs must be able to signal to each other about ongoing communication activities when trains move through their geographic range.

To illustrate this, consider the example of a train that moves through the geographic range of one GW to the next (adjacent) GW. Assume that a user in the train is trying to download a large file. Assume that the time required to download the file exceeds the geographic range of the GW; and hence it cannot be downloaded within the range of one GW. In such a case, the file must be made available to the next adjacent GW, when the train arrives in its geographic range, so that the user in the train can successfully download the entire file. The time lost between tearing down the connection between the train and the first GW and the setting up the connection (making the file available) at the second GW is of critical importance. Another factor that also leads to an inefficient cross-medium solution is that the second GW must be aware of the fraction of the file that was successfully transmitted by the first GW, so that it has to only transmit the remaining fraction. While TCP sequencing would take care of this issue, we do require a more robust and far more dynamically adjustable solution. This necessitates the need for efficient signaling both at the optical and the wireless layer amongst nodes as well as between the nodes and the train.

An optical networking solution that enables dynamic provisioning, optical multicasting and provides for effective signaling is the light-train approach originally proposed in [1-4]. As opposed to conventional end-to-end circuit or lightpath (optical wavelength circuit), a light-train is a generalization of a lightpath [5] such that multiple nodes along the path can communicate with each other. A light-train is analogous to an optical bus with the added advantage that communication and arbitration of the bus is done through an out-of-band (OOB) control channel. The OOB control channel is optically dropped, electronically processed and then reinserted into the network. The differentiation between the OEO control channel and the all-optical (OOO) bus based data channel is the key to some of the functionalities of light-train based networks. Multiple light-trains, each on a unique wavelength, use a common control channel.

In order to create a light-train, nodes must be based on an architecture that supports three functionalities: (1) The ability to drop-and-continue incoming optical signal. (2) Ability to passively add optical signal and (3) To support the OOB control. The first two properties, that of drop and continue as well as passive addition lead to formation of the optical bus, while the third property enables efficient (dynamic) provisioning within the bus. A light-train is defined between two extreme nodes (a start node called the convener node and a stop node called end-node) that regulate signal flow within the optical wavelength bus. Signal flow is in the direction from the convener to the end-node.

Light-train node architecture is shown in Fig. 2. A fiber that carries composite WDM signal enters the node premises from the left-side. The composite signal is de-multiplexed into constituent wavelengths by an optical de-multiplexer (typically an Arrayed Wave-Guide – AWG). The
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II. A. High Level System Description:

An IP/MPLS backbone network interacts with the railway-grid at select gateways to provide backbone connectivity with the rest of the Internet. Typically, the selection of IP/MPLS routers along the grid implies points at which a light-trail terminates or commences as shown in the Fig. 3. Each gateway communicates with a passing train through a wireless link.

We propose WiMax as a wireless candidate technology for the wireless link between a GW and a moving train. The choice of IEEE 802.16 WiMax is due to the support of the large distance-bandwidth product and ability to coexist with other wireless last inch technologies that users may in addition subscribe to (for e.g. cellular/GSM or WiFi).

The control channel is also extracted and electronically processed by a control card. The data channels that are de-multiplexed are fed individually to a local access section. This section enables the node to access (TX/RX) data on individual light-trails. To do so, the section consists of two passive couplers separated by an optical shutter. The two couplers are in 1x2 and 2x1 configurations. The first coupler (called Drop Coupler – DC) drops and continues incoming optical signal while the second coupler (called Add Coupler – AC) enables passive addition of the optical signal. The optical shutter is a slow moving switch that is in the OFF position at the convener and end-nodes of a light-trail, while is in the ON position for all the intermediate nodes. Switching is required only when light-trails are set up or torn down, which for the ease of providing bandwidth to moving trains is a semi-permanent feature and seldom used.

Nodes along a light-trail communicate with each other by setting up connections. Connection setup and tear-down over a light-trail requires no optical switching and is done entirely through signaling in the OOB control channel using a protocol that we define in Section III.

II. B: Duplex Communication in the Light-train System:

Let us now consider how a real-time application is supported at an end-user. A duplex communication application operates through our system using two communication modes – Forward Communication (FC) and Reverse Communication (RC) depending on the direction of communication from or to the end-user. We first describe how FC works. The application at the end-user sends information through the intra-train WiFi network to the access point. In our model, we assume that the WiFi network is configured to implement PCF mode of operation, so as to enhance throughput.

The AP/SS collects this data (in form of Ethernet frames) and attempts to send it to a gateway through whose geographic range the train is passing. A scheduling protocol in the WiFi domain and a fast hand-off mechanism is assumed (and described in Section IV.B) that enables establishment of communication and ubiquity between the SS and the GW. The WiMax frequency allocation is described in Section IV. The railway tracks are assumed to be divided into cells in succession, that are partially overlapped power-threshold defined regions supporting wireless communication. When a train passes from the range of one cell to another cell, a hard hand-off is assumed to happen.

Information sent by the GW to the train is collected at a single platform within the train (the WiMax SS). This platform has a dual role: in one direction of communication it is used for communicating with the GW and in the other direction of communication it acts as an Access Point (AP) used to communicate with the end-users (residing in the train).

The packets that are sent to the GW should now be transported into the core network. This is done by the optical (light-trail) solution. Since a light-trail is an all-optical time-
shared medium (bus), the packets are buffered in light-trail trailponders (electronic queues with added fast ON/OFF using burst-mode capability) [9] until a successful connection (in the light-trail) is established. A connection in a light-trail is when two nodes communicate over a light-trail. This is a software (control plane) defined operation that involves no optical switch configuration resulting in dynamic bandwidth provisioning. Once a connection at a gateway is established, the information is sent through the light-trail to the nearest IP/MPLS router that is subsequently assumed to be connected to the rest of the Internet (core network).

In the reverse direction of communication (RC), i.e. from the IP/MPLS router to the end-users, information for a particular end-user in form of packets arrives at a specific IP/MPLS router. This router is connected to a light-trail that contains a GW in whose range the train is moving. The data to be sent to the GWs in the train is collated in a trailponder [9] at the IP/MPLS router (as shown in Fig. 4). The trailponder is responsible for sending this data into the light-trail by forming a connection. Since the light-trail is a time-shared medium, the trailponder at the IP/MPLS router competes with trailponders at GWs in connection provisioning. Multiple trailponders may exist at an IP/MPLS router – each supporting a different light-trail (on one of the many WDM channels). A single trailponder at an IP/MPLS router supports communication to all the nodes in the light-trail using the optical multicast property. (Fig. 4).

The information is sent into an appropriate light-trail (the one that contains the GW that has in its range the train that further contains the end-user which requested for the information).

Gateway nomenclature: The GW through whose range the train is presently passing is called a live gateway; while the GW’s through whose range the train has already passed are called dead gateways (for that particular train); finally, the gateways in whose range a train would soon arrive (downstream of the live gateway) are called dormant gateways.

It may happen that the entire information content (say a file) is not transmitted by the live gateway while the train was in its range. The train may have moved to the next (dormant) gateway and begun communicating with this gateway (turning its status to a live gateway). The status change in GWs is dependent on a hand-off mechanism that is principally based on a power-threshold algorithm as defined in the IEEE 802.16e WiMax mobility standard in conjunction with our optical out-of-band control-channel. Hence, when the train undergoes a hand-off and begins to communicate with the next GW, the remainder of the file has to be transmitted by this new “live” GW. In such an event, the new live GW should know the exact fraction of the file that has already been transmitted and also have access/contain the remaining fraction that is to be transmitted into the wireless channel. Due to optical multicast property of the light-trail all the nodes have access to the data that was sent by the IP/MPLS router, and hence the problem of availability of data at the new live gateway does not arise. However to avoid replication of transmission and thereby efficiently utilize the already scarce wireless bandwidth, it is necessary that the new live node begin transmission from the frame/packet (within the file), where the previous gateway ceased transmission. This information pertaining to fractional file transfer is sent from one GW to the next through the out-of-band Optical Supervisory Channel (OSC)/control channel.

In this subsection, we describe how the IP layer reacts to the moving train.

When a train passes over a router, it registers itself at the router (which is the convener node of a light-trail). The router advertises to the rest of the core network that the train is reachable through itself. To support a large number of IP
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addresses we use Network Address Translation (NAT) at the AP.

Consider Fig. 6 in which an end-user in the train desires to communicate with a host in the core network. When the train crosses station 1 and moves towards station 2, the router at station 1 advertises the train to be in its range (first hop path). Correspondingly, the routing advertisements for the train flow along the direction of the red lines (to the core network) and the data packets sent by a host in the core network flow along the direction of the blue lines (as shown in Fig. 7). It is assumed that the train itself is an edge router, and the router at station 1 is simply advertising a shortest route (of one hop) to this edge router.

In Fig. 7, the train has moved to station 2, where a light-trail is terminated and a new light-trail begins (the terminating/end-node for the previous light-trail is the convener node for the new light-trail). It is assumed that between the previous and the next light-trail there is an IP router using the connection configuration as shown in Fig. 7. The train now registers itself with this IP-router (at station 2).

When the train registers at station 2, router 1 stops route advertisements, while router 2 starts route advertisements of the train being in its one-hop range. Router 1 is intimated of the new association (between the train and router 2) through the out-of-band control channel that connects routers 1 and 2. Data not transmitted by router 1 to the train, is then sent to router 2. The flow of data between router 1 and router 2 is due to optical multicasting and this is shown in Fig. 8.

IV. PRINCIPLES OF THE INTEGRATED PROTOCOL DESIGN

In this section we define the key principles of a protocol that integrates the optical and wireless layers. Consider a train on a track with our proposed (optical + wireless) protocol.

In particular, the protocol implements the following key tasks:

1. Management of hand-off leading to the concept of fast hand-off by using the dual property of optical multicasting and dynamic provisioning.

2. Signaling between gateways to enable seamless and efficient connection provisioning.

3. Setup and tear-down of optical connections between IP routers (at convener node/end-node) and the gateway.

4. Traffic engineering of connections amongst GWs to provision real-time and data-centric services facilitating seamless communication to and from the moving train.

IV. A. WiFi Protocol Functioning in The Train

The first source of delay for a user that sends a packet is within the WiFi network. In our model, the access point in the train is assumed to use the Point Coordination Function (PCF) to communicate with the users inside the train. PCF enables good performance at high loads, as desired in a train with a large number of active users. The PCF is used in an infrastructure-mode whereby an AP (access point) is able to centrally control user access into the shared wireless medium. To do so, the AP polls users in a time-duration denoted as contention-free-period (CFP). Users are then allocated slots by the AP on the basis of the polling scheme. Time is assumed to be in form of successive superframes, and each superframe is further composed of the CFP and a contention period (CP). Transmission in the CFP is the result of the polling mechanism initiated by the AP while transmission in the CP is assumed to be a Distributed Coordination Function (DCF).

IV. B. Frequency allocation in WiMax channel and hand-off using optical multicasting

In this section, we will discuss how the WiMax protocol functions in the light-train system. On account of the fast hand-off and associated optical layer dynamic provisioning/optical multicasting features, the WiMax protocol is closely tied to the optical/physical infrastructure, in the sense that, the protocol is adapted to suit the light-train mode of operation. In particular, two aspects need consideration: (1) WiMax frequency allocation and (2) WiMax hand-off. The first aspect will now be considered.

We assume that \( f_{\text{WiMax}} \) be the set of OFDM channels available for use in the WiMax spectrum for providing communication between the GW (i.e. WiMax BS) and the train AP (WiMax SS). In typical wireless deployments, we would assume a cellular pattern with Base Stations and antennas launching wireless signal into cells, supported by a frequency allocation pattern that allows efficient reuse of frequencies by maintaining geographic diversity. However, in the case of light-trains, the frequency allocation differs significantly from regular cellular/WiMax networks. The difference in allocation of frequencies is due to the following reasons:

1. Conjecture: On a single track, two moving trains are separated by a minimum distance \( d_{\text{min}} \) that is necessary for avoiding collision at some minimum cruising speed. Hence, if the cell width \( C_w \) is greater than the train length (which is assumed to be true in our case), then it implies, to prevent collision between back-to-back trains, the relation \( d_{\text{min}} > 2C_w \) should likely be valid, and hence two trains will not be in adjacent cells (as shown in Fig. 9).
2. Under the assumption that reason (1) above is valid, this means that the entire frequency band is reused in the adjacent cell as long as another train does not come in this cell’s range on an opposite/another track. However two trains moving in opposite directions can be in adjacent cells (just before crossing each other), and this implies a different allocation strategy considered next.

Fig. 9. Frequency allocation and its relation to cell-width (inter-GW distance), minimum distance to avoid collision and train size.

3. For the case when two trains cross each other in opposite tracks, we assume that through the network management system we are able to isolate the cell that would be live and contain both the trains. This live cell(s) with two trains in its range is called a hyperlive cell. When a cell is hyperlive, the OFDM channels are divided based on FDM and all odd channels are allocated to the train moving in one direction while all even channels are allocated to the train moving in the opposite direction.

Fig. 10a: Sync.
Fig. 10b: Ack.
Fig. 10c: Break

4. For the case when multiple \((Q)\) trains are within the range of a single cell, then, the allocation of OFDM channels is done as per (3) except that the set of OFDM channels are now divided into \(Q\) disjoint subsets, one for each train. This situation is likely to happen at train stations.

Hand-off in WiMax is based on the principle of break before make and is assisted by light-trail properties of optical multicasting and dynamic provisioning. WiMax hand-off involves the following steps:

(1) When a train enters the provisioning margin of a cell through which it has traversed, it indicates so to the GW through the UL Map of the WiMax uplink frame. The train gets this indication through a combination of distance traveled (since GWs are pre-allocated and static, thereby enabling the GW to tell the train of its geographic range) as well as a power threshold. Upon entering the provisioning margin the train begins invoking hand-off procedures.

(2) This indication (through the UL map) triggers a series of sync steps at the WiMax GW. The live WiMax GW now has to inform to the next GW (which is dormant) about the arrival of the train in its range and then has to sync with the buffer at the next dormant GW so that there is no duplication of transmitted data (into the wireless channel). This step is pictorially represented in Fig. 10a.

(3) Sync between two adjacent GWs involves the live GW informing the adjacent dormant GW of the packet numbers that are being sent. This is done through the OOB control channel. In light-trails we have assumed that layer 2 frames are encoded with VLAN tags [9], these tags are useful in determining packet numbers. By stacking VLAN tags, we can have sequence numbering. The dormant GW receives the same packets as the live GW through optical multicasting property of the light-trail – though it does not transmit the received packets into the wireless medium. The dormant GW upon receiving the packet number information from the live GW sends an ACK-HAND-OFF frame. This ACK-HAND-OFF frame tells the live GW that the dormant GW has discarded all packets prior to the last packet number sent by the live GW. The live GW then sends (after a duration of time that corresponds to the end of a WiMax cycle) a final BREAK packet to the dormant GW and stops transmitting data into the wireless channel (as shown in Fig. 10b). By waiting for the duration of WiMax cycle to end, the live GW ensures that the train does not transmit any packets in the downlink during hand-off. The BREAK packet contains the packet number of the last packet that was transmitted by the live GW before it became dead. Once the dormant GW receives the BREAK packet it notes the last transmitted packet number and begins transmitting the packets in its buffer by setting its pointer to the last packet sent value (which it received from its adjacent/previous GW). The dormant GW has hence become a live GW, and hand-off has occurred (as shown in Fig. 10c).

IV.C. Light-trail Protocol Design

This protocol works as follows: for every light-trail, the control channel is assumed to be synchronized with respect to each node. This assumption is valid since the control channel is optically dropped and electronically processed at every node in the light-trail; the OEO function
facilitates synchronization amongst nodes in the light-trail. At
the data layer, i.e. within the light-trail itself, we assume large
duration (1-5 ms) time-slots (data-time-slots) for connection
provisioning. Two adjacent time-slots are separated by a
guard-band of about 10 µs.

One of the light-trail nodes acts as an arbiter of
bandwidth within the light-trail (and called light-trail
controller). This node would receive from every other node
(within the light-trail) a bandwidth request in the t-th time-slot
and then would arbitrate so as to give the highest deserving
node the t-th time-slot for transmission. The process of
requesting bandwidth by nodes and the subsequent allocation
(by the arbiter) is done through the out-of-band control
channel. Also this process is done ahead in time, i.e. nodes
request for bandwidth in the present time-slot and their request
(if granted) is fulfilled in the next time-slot. This protocol is a
derivative of the two-stage auction algorithm proposed in [10]
and uses bids as requests for bandwidth allocation. The
protocol uses bids within control packets to communicate
between nodes and the arbiter.

We define the following control packet types for our
proposed protocol:

IV. D. Light-trail protocol working

The dynamic provisioning protocol works as follows:
Each node in a light-trail sends a bid packet indicating the
buffer status in the trailponder to the light-trail controller. The
light-trail controller then grants the node with the highest
critical buffer status rights to form a connection in the next
time-slot. The node could be an intermediate node (thus
between nodes and the arbiter).

Let each user m generate data at a rate λm packets per second,
where µm is the packet service rate.

Let us assume a set of h services be denoted by the set
S = {S1, S2, ..., Sk, ... Sk+1}.

Then, let us define the maximum allowable latency for each of
these h services to be represented by a set:
Λ = {Λ1, Λ2, ..., Λk, ... Λm}.

The train u has an Access-Point (AP), and packets arrive at the
AP from the users at a combined average arrival rate λu.

Similarly packets arrive at the AP in train u from the
underlying core network (through the WiMax channel) at an
average rate of Λ,.

The buffer at the access point in train u that collects packets in
FC direction (from the users) is denoted by Buffu and whose
instantaneous value is Buffu(t).

In the FC direction, packets are sent by the AP that also
functions as a WiMax SS, transmitting them to a WiMax BS
that resides in conjunction with the GW, as shown in Fig. 11.

Let us assume GW i to be the communicating

gateway for train u i.e. the train is passing through the
geographic range of i. Then, the buffer for FC communication
at GW i is BuffGW, and it collects packets sent by the AP in
train u. The instantaneous occupancy value of this buffer is
denoted by BuffGW(t).

Similarly, at GW i we have in the RC direction buffer
BuffRC (with instantaneous value BuffRC(t)) that collects
packets from the optical layer and transmits these packets into
the WiMax channel (to the passing train).

Buffers BuffGW and BuffRC have service
differentiation capability, i.e. different counters exists for
different services enabling service differentiation amongst the
packets queued in the buffer. These counters are able to
measure the time elapsed since packets for a particular service
entered the buffer. Service differentiation is done by use of
VLAN tagging on layer-2 frames in light-trails [9].

Bi: size of the buffer at a node.

T WiMax be the expected duration of a WiMax cycle. T WiMax
constitutes the following periods – uplink frame, downlink
frame and associated guard-bands.

\[ t_{UL}^{\omega} \] be the expected uplink time duration allocated to GW i
on the WiMax channel for transmission to train u.

\[ t_{DL}^{\omega} \] be the expected uplink time duration allocated for
transmission in the WiMax channel at the AP in train u for
transmission to GW i in a cycle of duration TWiMax.

Then, \[ t_{UL}^{\omega} + t_{DL}^{\omega} = T_{WiMax} \] is the WiMax cycle time, neglecting the

\[ \text{guard-band between uplink and downlink frames.} \]

The expected values of \[ t_{UL}^{\omega} \] and \[ t_{DL}^{\omega} \] are computed as described in
the next section.
Bandwidth allocation policy: We will now show how bandwidth (connection) provisioning happens in the light-trail. For bandwidth allocation consider Fig. 11. Let \( y_{ik}(t) \) be the time elapsed since the first packet of service type \( q \) entered the buffer \( \text{Buff}_{ik} \) at GW \( i \) destined for communication in the light-trail \( k \) in the FC direction at time \( t \). Let \( \gamma \) be the time elapsed since the first packet of service type \( q \) entered the buffer \( \text{Buff}_{ik}^{\text{CW}} \) at GW \( i \) destined for communication in the light-trail \( k \) in the RC direction at time \( t \). Packet value computation at a GW \( i \) for transmission on light-trail \( k \) at time \( t \) in FC direction is denoted by:

\[
\text{packet\_value}_{ik}(t) = \max \left[ \frac{\text{Buff}_{ik}^{\text{CW}}(t)}{B_{\text{max}}} \left( \max(y_{ik}(t)) + \gamma \right) \right]
\]

Where, \( \gamma = \min(\Delta - y_{ik}) \); service statistics at GW \( i \) in FC direction; where service statistics refers to the minimum delay tolerance limit for the buffer \( \text{Buff}_{ik}^{\text{CW}} \) at GW \( i \) for communication in the light-trail \( k \) in the FC direction at time \( t \). Subsequently \( q' = \arg\min_{q \in [1,...,\Delta]} (\Delta - y_{ik}) \) denotes the service that corresponds to minimum tolerance value in the buffer at node \( i \) in FC direction.

Let \( \gamma = \min(\Delta - y_{ik}) \) be the service that contributes to the minimum delay tolerance in the buffer at node \( i \) in RC direction. Let \( \gamma = \min(\Delta - y_{ik}) \) be the allowable delay at node \( i \) (how long can a node \( i \) wait without being serviced) in RC direction for the buffer \( \text{Buff}_{ik}^{\text{CW}} \); i.e. \( \gamma = \text{buff}_{ik}(t) \).

Buffer status computation at GW \( i \) (for packets received on light-trail \( k \)) for transmission on the WiMax channel at time \( t \) in the RC direction is denoted by:

\[
\text{packet\_value}_{ik}(t) = \max \left[ \frac{\text{Buff}_{ik}^{\text{CW}}(t)}{B_{\text{max}}} \left( \max(y_{ik}(t)) + \gamma \right) \right]
\]

In [10] we have observed that using a maximizer of buffer utilization and service statistics as shown in (1), (2), leads to a proportionally fair [11] allocation of bandwidth resources in a shared medium. The explanation is that \( \text{Buff}_{ik}^{\text{CW}}(t) \) value is mapped to a combination of concave and sigmoidal function that ultimately leads to proportional fairness.

---

**Dynamic provisioning protocol in a light-trail**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At every node in the light-trail except IP router:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compute packet_value for each node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>send packet_value to controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At IP router connected to controller of the light-trail k:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for every buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compute packet_value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>send packet_value to controller (through control channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At controller:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>receive all packet_value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compute max(packet_value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compute alloc() = argmax(packet_value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>send alloc() information to all nodes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 2:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At all nodes in light-trail k and at IP router at center node:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if alloc() == node index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wait all end of current time-slot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>otherwise for one time-slot duration or till buff alloc() &gt; 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Algorithm 1: Communication between GW and IP Router (for both FC and RC)**

IV. D. Special Case: Reverse Communication

The IP router sends data to the users in the train in reverse communication direction. The data sent depends on the user IP-destination address and whether the router supports a light-trail such that a GW on the light-trail contains the train in its geographic range. To send the data from the IP router to the GW, a connection is set up on the light-trail, established between the IP router and the GW that contains the train. This connection is also multicast to all the GWs downstream (in the direction of the moving train). Hence the GW that contains the train (live) and the GWs at which the train is expected to arrive (dormant) are privy to the information transmitted on the connection.

The gateway or router in whose range the train resides, receives the data (on the connection) and attempts to send this to the AP (in the train) through the WiMax channel. Data that cannot be sent through the wireless channel because the train has left the range of a live GW is sent by the next GW. Data received by the AP is then transmitted to the end-users over the PCF based WiFi connection.

Shown in Algorithm 1 is the pseudo code for bandwidth assignment within the light-trail at both the GWs and at the IP router in both directions of communication, i.e. FC and RC.

V. DELAY ANALYSIS IN THE LIGHT-TRAIN SYSTEM

The integrated optical-wireless solution that gives bandwidth to fast-moving users in trains, consists of wireless access, wireless interconnection and optical infrastructure thereby requiring a unified approach to bandwidth provisioning. In terms of implementation, the variation in provisioning methodologies seen between: the end-users and the AP (WiFi); the AP and the GW (WiMax); the GW and
Let the service rate at the AP and router (nodes of the light-trail) act as the three principle sources of delay, in the FC and RC directions.

We now compute each of these three delays i.e. at WiFi, within WiMax and accessing the light-trail and then present a unified delay model as a function of network load. We further extend this model to the RC case as well. The final delay is represented by the following set of equations with the associated conventions described subsequently:

The delay experienced by a packet in the FC direction is given by:

$$\delta_{\text{tot-F}} = \delta_{\text{WiFi-F}} + \delta_{\text{WiMax-F}} + \delta_{\text{LT-F}}$$

(3)

The delay experienced by a packet in the RC direction is given by:

$$\delta_{\text{tot-RC}} = \delta_{\text{WiFi-RC}} + \delta_{\text{WiMax-RC}} + \delta_{\text{LT-RC}}$$

(4)

Finally, the average delay that a packet experiences through the network is:

$$\delta_{\text{avg}} = \left(\delta_{\text{tot-F}} + \delta_{\text{tot-RC}}\right)/2$$

(5)

Where, \(\delta_{\text{WiFi-F}}\) is the delay in FC through WiFi; \(\delta_{\text{WiMax-F}}\) is the delay in FC through WiMax; \(\delta_{\text{LT-F}}\) is the delay in the FC through the light-trail, while \(\delta_{\text{WiFi-RC}}\) is the delay in RC through WiFi; \(\delta_{\text{WiMax-RC}}\) is the corresponding delay in the RC through WiMax and finally, \(\delta_{\text{LT-RC}}\) is the delay in the RC through the light-trail. We now compute each of the above six delay functions.

V. A. WiFi Delay

To compute the delay we assume that there are \(W(u)\) users in train \(u\) that use the PCF mode for communication. The packet inter-arrival times at each user are assumed to be exponentially distributed with rate \(\lambda\). Let the duration of the superframe (used in the PCF mode) be denoted by \(T_{\text{super}}\) and let \(L\) be the expected length of a packet at each user. The utilization of each node is denoted by \(\rho_{\text{unr}}\). We adapt from the model presented in [6] to compute the average queuing delay experienced by an arbitrary packet arriving at the \(m^{th}\) user in the train \(u\).

As shown in [6], since each polled user transmits exactly once in every superframe, the service rate of each user is \(\mu_{\text{FC}} = 1/T_{\text{super}}\), and the net-utilization is given by \(\rho_{\text{FC}} = \lambda/\mu_{\text{FC}} = \lambda T_{\text{super}}\). From the above, the delay experienced by a packet that passes through the WiFi network sent by end-user \(m\) in the train \(u\) is given by:

$$D_{\text{WiFi}} = \frac{T_{\text{FC}}}{2} + \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{FC}} E(L^2-1)\left(1-\rho_{\text{FC}}\right)}{T_{\text{super}}} + L\left(1-\rho_{\text{FC}}\right)\right)$$

(6)

Let \(D_{\text{WiFi}}\) be the departure rate at the end-user \(m\) in train \(u\). This implies that at user \(m\), packets arrive (from client applications at a rate \(\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}\) and are sent out at an effective rate \(\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}\), where the difference between \(\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}\) and \(\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}\) is the result of the PCF bandwidth allocation strategy (due to control overhead).

The net arrival rate at the AP (\(\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}\)) is given by:

$$\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}} = \sum_{m=1}^{W(u)} \lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}$$

(7)

Where \(W(u)\) represents the set of users in train \(u\). The departure rate at the end-users, in steady state, can be computed in terms of the arrival rate (\(\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}\)) and the average per-packet delay (\(D_{\text{WiFi}}\)), that packets from user \(m\) experience due to PCF allocation strategy. In steady state, the number of packets (\(N_{m}^{u}\)) that are buffered at the user \(m\) is given by Little’s formula: \(N_{m}^{u} = \lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}D_{\text{WiFi}}\). Hence we have:

$$\lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}D_{\text{WiFi}} = \lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}\Delta_{\text{WiFi}} + \lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}\Delta_{\text{WiFi}} = N_{m}^{u}$$

(8)

$$\therefore \quad \lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{N_{m}^{u}}{D_{\text{WiFi}} - \lambda_{\text{WiFi}}^{\text{eff}}\Delta_{\text{WiFi}}}$$

(9)

V. B. WiMax Delay

We now compute the average delay experienced by a packet over the WiMAX channel. The MAC frame structure consists of an uplink and a downlink sub-frame, whose durations are dependent on the provisioned services and are controlled by the BS. A SS requests for transmission opportunities on the uplink channel. The BS collects these requests and grants permissions to the SSs based on their service agreements. Allocation in WiMax is done through Downlink Map (DL Map) and Uplink Map (UL Map) that carries information of future allocations i.e. sub-frame assignment, and duration.

Our model is similar to the model proposed in [7] with the primary exception that it is designed for communication with high-speed voluminous moving users. This implies the model has a single BS located at the GW and a single SS located in the train. The BS polls the SS and based on this polling allocates bandwidth in the UL-map (indicating size of uplink transmission). The BS hence allocates bandwidth to the SS by sharing the entire bandwidth between itself (downlink) and the SS (uplink). To compute the delay experienced by a packet in FC, through the WiMax channel, we consider scenarios that are based on the time of arrival of a packet and state of the buffer at the AP.

Let us denote \(\alpha_{m}^{u}\) to be the expected uplink time that train \(u\) receives through the WiMax channel when moving through the GW \(i\). Let \(\mu_{\text{buffer}}\) be the service rate at the AP and \(N_{\text{buffer}} = \alpha_{m}^{u}/\mu_{\text{buffer}}\) be the maximum permissible buffer occupancy (in terms of number of packets) that can be scheduled over the WiMax channel in the uplink –FC.
The communication through the WiMax channel consists of the two periods denoted by $t_{UL}$ and $t_{DL}$ for uplink (FC) and downlink (RC) communication respectively. Between GW and train $u$, we also assume that the time a train spends communicating to a GW is denoted by $T_{WMax}$. We relax the constraint of velocity dependence (and hence Doppler effect), assuming measurements are made at a constant speed of 100–300 kmph. Then, the time the train spends communicating to a GW is denoted by $t_{UL}$, which consists of the two periods denoted by $t_{UL}^{GW}$ and $t_{UL}^{AP}$. Then, the time the train spends communicating to a GW is denoted by $t_{UL}$ and $t_{DL}$, which consists of the two periods denoted by $t_{UL}^{GW}$ and $t_{DL}^{GW}$. We also assume that $t_{UL}^{GW}$ is the arrival rate at the AP (from the users in the train) and $t_{DL}^{GW}$ is the arrival rate at the GW (from other trains).

For bandwidth allocation, the transmission time is divided into small slots with the AP and the GW both allotted sub-slots to transmit within each slot, the size of which is proportional to their respective arrival rates.

We now compute the expected values of $t_{UL}^{GW}$ and $t_{DL}^{GW}$ that are critical in computation of the delay through the WiMax channel. The pdfs of $t_{UL}^{GW}$ and $t_{DL}^{GW}$ are computed as follows:

Assuming that the arrival processes $X_{UL}^u$ and $X_{DL}^u$ are independent at the train ($u$) and the gateway ($i$), the cycle time, $T_{WMax} = T_{UL} + T_{DL}$, is decomposed into the following two sub-slots:

$$t_{UL}^i = \frac{X_{UL}^u}{X_{UL}^u + X_{DL}^i} \cdot T_{WMax} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{DL}^i = \frac{X_{DL}^i}{X_{UL}^u + X_{DL}^i} \cdot T_{WMax}$$

(10)

In (10) we divide the cycle time $T_{WMax}$ proportional to the steady-state arrival rates $X_{UL}^u$ and $X_{DL}^i$. Their pdfs are computed as follows:

$$t_{UL}^i = \frac{1}{1 + X_{UL}^u / X_{DL}^i} \cdot T_{WMax}$$

and denote

$$\frac{1}{1 + X_{UL}^u / X_{DL}^i} = X$$

(11)

Let us now define $X = X_{UL}^u / X_{DL}^i$ as the quotient of two independent random variables.

Let $g(X_{UL}^u)$ denote the pdf of $X_{UL}^u$ and $h(X_{DL}^i)$ denote the pdf of $X_{DL}^i$. Then the pdf of $X$ is $f(x)$ and given by:

$$f(x) = \int_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} g(y) \cdot h(y) \cdot y \cdot dy$$

(12)

From which we obtain the expectation of $t_{UL}^i$ as:

$$E[t_{UL}^i] = \int_0^{T_{WMax}} t \cdot y(t) \cdot dt$$

where $y(t)$ is the pdf of $t_{UL}^i$ and can be computed from $f(x)$.

Algorithm 2: Communication between AP and GW (with FC and RC) using WiMax communication

\[\text{Algorithm 2: Communication between AP and GW (with FC and RC) using WiMax communication} \]

The communication through the WiMax channel consists of the two periods denoted by $t_{UL}^{GW}$ and $t_{DL}^{GW}$ for uplink (FC) and downlink (RC) communication respectively, between GW and train $u$. We also assume that the time a train spends communicating to a GW is denoted by $T_{WMax} = T_{UL} + T_{DL}$. Whereby we relax the constraint of velocity dependence (and hence Doppler effect), assuming measurements are made at a constant speed of 100–300 kmph. Then, the time the train spends communicating with the gateway is given by an integral multiple of $T_{WMax} = (t_{UL}^{GW} + t_{DL}^{GW})$. We also assume that $X_{UL}^u$ is the arrival rate at the AP (from the users in the train) and $X_{DL}^i$ is the arrival rate at the GW (from the light-trail).

For bandwidth allocation, the transmission time is divided into small slots with the AP and the GW both allotted sub-slots to transmit within each slot, the size of which is proportional to their respective arrival rates.

We now compute the expected values of $t_{UL}^{GW}$ and $t_{DL}^{GW}$ that are critical in computation of the delay through the WiMax channel. The pdfs of $t_{UL}^{GW}$ and $t_{DL}^{GW}$ are computed as follows:

Assuming that the arrival processes $X_{UL}^u$ and $X_{DL}^i$ are independent at the train ($u$) and the gateway ($i$), the cycle time, $T_{WMax} = T_{UL} + T_{DL}$, is decomposed into the following two sub-slots:

$$t_{UL}^i = \frac{X_{UL}^u}{X_{UL}^u + X_{DL}^i} \cdot T_{WMax} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{DL}^i = \frac{X_{DL}^i}{X_{UL}^u + X_{DL}^i} \cdot T_{WMax}$$

(10)

In (10) we divide the cycle time $T_{WMax}$ proportional to the steady-state arrival rates $X_{UL}^u$ and $X_{DL}^i$. Their pdfs are computed as follows:

$$t_{UL}^i = \frac{1}{1 + X_{UL}^u / X_{DL}^i} \cdot T_{WMax}$$

and denote

$$\frac{1}{1 + X_{UL}^u / X_{DL}^i} = X$$

(11)

Let us now define $X = X_{UL}^u / X_{DL}^i$ as the quotient of two independent random variables.

Let $g(X_{UL}^u)$ denote the pdf of $X_{UL}^u$ and $h(X_{DL}^i)$ denote the pdf of $X_{DL}^i$. Then the pdf of $X$ is $f(x)$ and given by:

$$f(x) = \int_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} g(y) \cdot h(y) \cdot y \cdot dy$$

(12)

From which we obtain the expectation of $t_{UL}^i$ as:

$$E[t_{UL}^i] = \int_0^{T_{WMax}} t \cdot y(t) \cdot dt$$

where $y(t)$ is the pdf of $t_{UL}^i$ and can be computed from $f(x)$.
The condition is denoted by: \( t_{\text{arr}}^u \leq t_{\text{arr}}^d \), within the same duration. This is given by:

\[
\Pr (t_{\text{arr}}^u \leq t_{\text{arr}}^d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{up}}}{\mu_{\text{up}}} \right)^i \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{\text{up}}}{\mu_{\text{up}}} \right)
\]

(16)

Hence, \( p(\text{Buff}_{\text{up}}^i < N_{\text{max}}^i) = 1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{up}}}{\mu_{\text{up}}} \right)^{N_{\text{max}}^i} \)

(17)

Therefore, \( p_i = \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{up}}}{\mu_{\text{up}}} \right)^{N_{\text{max}}^i} \right) \frac{t_{\text{up}}^i}{T_{\text{Wmax}}} \)

(18)

**Scenario 2:** The second case denotes arrival of a packet when an uplink frame is being transmitted and the corresponding departure occurs during the next uplink frame, and this is shown in Fig. 13a scenario 1. In this case the packet has to wait for the duration of (1) the current uplink frame (to complete transmission) and (2) the next downlink frame. The packet is then transmitted during the subsequent uplink frame.

This condition is stated as: \( t_{\text{arr}}^u \in \left[ 0, t_{\text{up}}^u \right] \) and \( t_{\text{dep}}^u \in \left[ T_{\text{Wmax}}^u, t_{\text{up}}^u + t_{\text{up}}^d \right] \).

The average delay experienced by a packet in this second scenario is \( T_{\text{Wmax}}^u \). The probability of occurrence of this scenario is:

\[
p_2 = p \left( t_{\text{arr}}^u \in \left[ 0, t_{\text{up}}^u \right]; t_{\text{dep}}^u \in \left[ T_{\text{Wmax}}^u, t_{\text{up}}^u + t_{\text{up}}^d \right] \right)
\]

(19)

The two independent probabilities are computed as:

\[
p \left( t_{\text{arr}}^u \in \left[ 0, t_{\text{up}}^u \right] \right) = \frac{t_{\text{up}}^u}{T_{\text{Wmax}}}
\]

(20)

\[
p \left( t_{\text{dep}}^u \in \left[ T_{\text{Wmax}}^u, t_{\text{up}}^u + t_{\text{up}}^d \right] \right) = p(\text{Buff}_{\text{up}}^i \geq N_{\text{max}}^i)
\]

(21)

Simplifying and using result (17) we get:

\[
p_2 = \frac{t_{\text{up}}^u}{T_{\text{Wmax}}} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{up}}}{\mu_{\text{up}}} \right)^{N_{\text{max}}^i} \right)
\]

(22)

**Scenario 3:** The last scenario represents when a packet arrives during the downlink frame and is transmitted in the next uplink frame as shown in Fig. 13a scenario 3. This scenario is stated as:

\[
t_{\text{arr}}^u \in \left[ t_{\text{up}}^d, T_{\text{Wmax}}^u \right] \text{ and } t_{\text{dep}}^u \in \left[ T_{\text{Wmax}}^u, t_{\text{up}}^u + t_{\text{up}}^d \right]
\]

The average delay experienced by a packet in this scenario is given by:

\[
t_{\text{dep}}^u \in \left[ T_{\text{Wmax}}^u - t_{\text{up}}^d, t_{\text{up}}^u + t_{\text{up}}^d \right]
\]

(23)

The probability of occurrence of this scenario is given by:

\[
p_3 = p \left( t_{\text{arr}}^u \in \left[ t_{\text{up}}^d, T_{\text{Wmax}}^u \right]; t_{\text{dep}}^u \in \left[ T_{\text{Wmax}}^u, t_{\text{up}}^u + t_{\text{up}}^d \right] \right)
\]

(24)

The two independent probabilities are computed as:

\[
p \left( t_{\text{arr}}^u \in \left[ t_{\text{up}}^d, T_{\text{Wmax}}^u \right] \right) = 1 - \frac{t_{\text{up}}^d}{T_{\text{Wmax}}}
\]

and

\[
p \left( t_{\text{dep}}^u \in \left[ T_{\text{Wmax}}^u, t_{\text{up}}^u + t_{\text{up}}^d \right] \right) = p(\text{Buff}_{\text{up}}^i < N_{\text{max}}^i)
\]

(25)
Hence,
\[
p_t = \left(1 - \frac{t_w}{T_{\text{WiMax}}}\right) \left[1 - \left(\rho_s\right)^{t_{\text{WiMax}}}\right]
\]
(26)

Therefore by combining (13)–(26), the expected delay a packet experiences through the WiMax channel in the FC direction is given by:
\[
\delta_{\text{WiMax}}^{\text{FC}}(i,u) = p_t \frac{t_w}{2} + p_s \left\{ T_{\text{WiMax}} - \frac{t_w}{2} \right\} + p_r \frac{T_{\text{WiMax}}}{2}
\]
(27)

Substituting and solving we get:
\[
\delta_{\text{WiMax}}^{\text{FC}}(i,u) = \left(\frac{t_w}{2}\right) + \left(T_{\text{WiMax}} - \frac{t_w}{2}\right) \left[1 - \left(\rho_s\right)^{t_{\text{WiMax}}}\right] + \frac{t_w}{2}
\]
(28)

Since the scenarios for RC are identical (as can be seen from Fig. 13b), the expected delay a packet experiences through the WiMax channel in the RC direction is given by:
\[
\delta_{\text{WiMax}}^{\text{RC}}(i,u) = \left(\frac{t_w}{2}\right) + \left(T_{\text{WiMax}} - \frac{t_w}{2}\right) \left[1 - \left(\rho_s\right)^{t_{\text{WiMax}}}\right] + \frac{t_w}{2}
\]
(29)

V. C. Light-trail Delay

The final aspect of delay that a packet experiences through the light-trail system is at the optical layer - i.e. through the light-trail.

Let us assume a light-trail k that has n(k) nodes and is supported by two IP-routers at each end (as shown in Fig. 1). The light-trail is time-shared by its n(k) constituent nodes for both FC and RC. Time-sharing is done through time-slots in the light-trail [10]. Since multiple nodes time-share the optical wavelength bus, we require a method for arbitration which was described in Section IV. Arbitration of bandwidth (time-slots) between nodes of a light-trail is done as follows:

1. An arbitrary node is selected in the light-trail as the controller node.
2. In every time-slot, all the nodes send their packet_value to the controller node.
3. The controller node responds back to the proposing nodes with an ACK or a NACK depending on the proposing node’s packet_value with respect to that of the other nodes. The ACKing and NACKing is done within the duration of the same time-slot in which the packet_value was sent.
4. The node that receives an ACK, transmits its data through the light-trail in the duration of the next time-slot.

We now discuss how to compute delay experienced by a packet waiting to be transmitted over this light-trail.

Multiple flows may be provisioned at a node (each with different destinations). The packet_value is computed over all the flows provisioned at a single node.

Bandwidth assignment: The largest packet_value that the controller receives is denoted by:
\[
\text{mpacket_value}(t) = \max_{\forall i \in k}(\text{packet_value}_i(t))
\]
(30)

While the node that gets rights to form the next connection is denoted by:
\[
\text{suc}_k(t) = \arg\max_{i \in n(k)}(\text{packet_value}_i(t))
\]
(31)

The winning node (suc_k(t)) would transmit (establish a connection) in the next (t+1)th time-slot.

Note: It may happen that a flow at a GW may not be serviced within its permissible delay limit or a buffer may reach an overflow condition. Such a flow or such a buffer implies an event called recourse that requires the algorithm to re-evaluate the flow and provision it through either some other light-trail (wavelength) or create a new light-trail. Recourse occurs when:
\[
t_{\text{overflow}} < Y_{\text{max}}(t) < (t_{\text{overflow}} + T_{s})
\]
or
\[
t_{\text{overflow}} < Y_{\text{max}}(t) < (t_{\text{overflow}} + T_{s})
\]
(32)

This condition requires new light-trails to be set up.

To compute the delay we are interested in computation of the probability that a particular node gets transmission rights in a time-slot at steady state. This probability of success of a node i in light-trail k is now computed. Let T_s be the time-slot duration, and let T_e be the guard-band between two successive time-slots. We assume T_s to have a very small value and hence neglect the effect of T_e on the overall light-trail delay.

Let \( p_{\text{succ}, k}^{\text{FC}}(t) \) be the probability of success of node i in light-trail k in the FC direction. Let \( p_{\text{succ}, k}^{\text{RC}}(t) \) be the probability of success for the router at the convener of light-trail k in sending data into the light-trail (i.e. in the RC direction). The superscript ing represents the ingress port for the light-trail k which is at the IP router. The delay in the FC direction by any arbitrary node i in light-trail k is given by:
\[
\delta_{\text{FC}}^{\text{RC}} = \frac{T_{s}}{T_{s} + T_{g}}
\]
(33)

In an n(k)-node light-trail a node i has to contend with the other n(k)-I nodes that also includes the router (at the convener node) for arbitration. Hence the probability that node i will successfully send data into the light-trail is the probability that the packet_value sent by node i is greater than the packet_value sent by any other nodes and the IP router interface at the convener node. This condition is denoted by:
\[
p_{\text{succ}, k}^{\text{RC}(t)} = p_{\text{packet_value}}(t) > \text{packet_value}_i(t)
\]
(34)

The packet_value computation is denoted in (1) and (2) from which we compute the probability that the packet_value sent by node i is the largest as:
\[
p_{\text{succ}, k}^{\text{RC}(t)} = \left[1 - p \left(\frac{\text{packet_value}(t)}{\max_{\forall j}(\text{packet_value}_j(t))}\right)\right]^{\max_{\forall j}(\text{packet_value}_j(t))}
\]
(35)

The above equation is simplified in [10] and we state the result duly modified for our case taking into consideration.
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duplex communication over the simplex light-trails (time shared FC and RC) as:

\[ P_{\text{w}, \text{FC}}(t) = \left( 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{m} \delta(\phi) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta(\phi)}{\gamma(\phi)} \right) \]

(36)

where, \( \phi = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}(t))}{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))} \) and \( \phi = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))}{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))} \)

\[ P_{\text{w}, \text{RC}}(t) = \left( 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{m} \delta(\phi) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta(\phi)}{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{RC}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{RC}}}}(t))} \right) \]

and

\[ \delta(Q) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{m} p(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))) = \frac{\delta(Q)}{\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))} \]

(37)

Leading to:

\[ \delta_{\text{RC}} = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{RC}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{RC}}}}(t))}{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))} \]

(38)

The average delay experienced by a packet in the RC direction is computed in a similar fashion:

\[ P_{\text{w}, \text{FC}}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} p(\text{packet } \gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t) / \text{packet } \gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t)) \]

(40)

\[ p_{\text{w}, \text{FC}}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{m} \delta(\phi) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{m} \delta(\phi) \right) \]

(41)

\[ p_{\text{w}, \text{RC}}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{m} \delta(\phi) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \prod_{k=1}^{m} \delta(\phi) \right) \]

(42)

Where, \( \phi = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))}{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t)}} \) \)

The delay in the RC direction is given by:

\[ \delta_{\text{RC}} = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{RC}}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{RC}}}}(t))}{Y_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}}(0) / \max(\gamma_{\gamma_{\gamma_{\text{FC}}}}(t))} \]

(43)

The delays computed in (28), (29) for WiMax, (33), (43) for light-trail are plugged in (3)-(5) to obtain final end-to-end delay, neglecting propagation delay.

VI. RELATED WORK

The concept of providing broadband connectivity to moving users especially fast moving users has been studied in [8, 12, 13], of which the [12] is our own preliminary work.

The approach in [12] proposed the basic architecture – using the light-trail node to serve as an element to provide dynamic provisioning and optical multicasting. This work however did not outline the technology used at the wireless layer nor did it analyze the system for delay and utilization. No protocol was presented for delivery of bandwidth to the end-user through the unified optical-wireless network.

The work in [8, 13] mentions two approaches – the mobile cell concept in [13] and the moving tunnel concept in [8]. While this fundamental work provides a prelude to the problem as well as outlines two solutions, our work differs from the aforementioned two approaches in terms of defining a technology solution. We present a complete end-to-end optical + wireless solution that spans across the network, data and physical layers using contemporary or available technology. In [8, 13] a radio-over-fiber solution is presented which does not delve into the specifics in terms of architecture, protocol or working. In contrast, our solution defines the node architecture, protocol as well as how to provision bandwidth from user to the network. The solution in [8, 13] uses switching which is detrimental (due to switching speed/uncertainty) in providing bandwidth to fast moving users. The requirement of optical switching in [8, 13] means either that hand-off would suffer (due to infancy of optical technology) or that we require futuristic high-speed optical switches. The light-train scheme relies on existing available, mature technologies, and is glued together by an out-of-band protocol designed to provision (without optical switching) as well as facilitate hand-off at the wireless layer. Our method of integration of optical and wireless technologies, enabling a symbiotic relationship is the key differentiator between the light-train solution and other existing solutions.

VI.A. Qualitative argument for Light-trails as opposed to conventional solutions:

In this subsection we outline the differences and advantages of light-trails as opposed to conventional point-to-point circuit technology.

(1) The 2-layer (data + control) light-trail enables dynamic sub-wavelength provisioning of bandwidth by fostering a unique node architecture that supports an optical bus, while using the standard ITU defined OOB control channel to dynamically arbitrate bandwidth within the bus. The dual layered approach allows fast configuration (provisioning) of bandwidth, while also supporting optical multicasting.

(2) Dynamic provisioning is an essential requirement for fast-hand offs – typically those envisioned for such applications like fast moving trains. The fast hand-off concept stems from two critical requirements: (a) reduction of time allocated for hand-off to maintain seamless and efficient communication under a large ratio of train size to cell-width, and (b) due to the high velocity of the train itself – requiring dynamic provisioning of bandwidth to efficiently utilize the wireless channel (if the time required for hand-off is large, then time lost in provisioning the connection when a train moves from one cell to its adjacent neighbor is also large and hence the efficiency of the system degrades). A fast hand-off concept is investigated by us in [14].

(3) The light-trail provides optical multicasting support. In conjunction with the dynamic provisioning property, this feature acts as a further enabler for seamless bi-directional communication in the overlaid wireless channel. With optical multicasting support, when a train moves from the range of an ingress cell site to the adjacent egress cell site (GW), the data is readily
available at the egress GW. This means that there is no requirement for further signaling to set up a new connection between the network core router and the new GW, and this eliminates the need for pre-fetching. In the conventional case, i.e. using an Ethernet network like the one proposed in [8, 13] or using a backbone SONET/SDH network, we would require layer 3 termination [13] and further provisioning of a moving tunnel type concept. The time required would result in loss of bandwidth and hence degradation of system efficiency.

Our model based on three factors, namely, (a) absence of pre-fetching due to optical multicasting (b) dynamic provisioning of bandwidth within the light-trail bus and (c) the presence of an OOB control that allows an egress GW to accurately estimate the starting and ending times of the train within its range (and hence schedule data accordingly), are key to a low-latency high-efficiency and evolutionary approach to integrated optical-wireless design for support of fast moving and bandwidth intensive users.

VII. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

To demonstrate the working of our protocol for light-trains, we developed a discrete event simulation (DES) model in C#. The topology simulated is based on the European landscape (Fig. 14) with sixteen major cities and distances not assumed to scale but assumed that the cities are within one huge metro region. The train contained end-users that supported applications on their mobile devices. These applications included voice, data and video (multimedia) services, each of which assumed Ethernet frame generation at layer-2. Ethernet frame arrival at a user followed a Poisson arrival process.

The basic event-duration for the DES model was 1 millisecond and is the time required by the system to transmit 6 Ethernet frames (MTU=1500 bytes) at 70 Mbps over the WiMax channel. Light-trail line-rate was assumed at 1 Gbps. A typical light-trail had between 20 and 25 GWs (with reduced attenuation by deploying Wavelength Selectable Switch support). Train velocity was pegged 200 km per hour. The DES was based on a C# class library.

The average distance between two gateways was assumed to be 1.5 km. Each Ethernet frame object belonged to a service class (voice, video and data) that also specified the maximum allowable delay (through VLAN tags). In the simulation, users boarded the train at different stations along the train routes. Simulation was performed under different values of cumulative network load.

Load is computed as the ratio of total number of bits per-second that entered the network to the maximum number of bits that the network could support.

In Fig. 15 we show utilization versus load. Two cases are considered in which the average file size is 100 kb and 200 kb respectively. The load for both the cases is varied from 0.1 to 0.9. We observe that in case 1 as the load varies from 0.1 to 0.9 the effective utilization varies from 10% to 65%. The curves in both the cases increase with load, linearly for most parts but are logarithmic at high loads. This implies that light-trains are not only able to cope well at low and medium loads but also achieve good utilization at high loads. Utilization is computed as the average time the system is busy.

Fig. 16 shows the delay experienced in FC and Fig. 17 shows the delay experienced in RC as the load varies from 0.1 to 0.9. In both directions of communication the average packet delay is of the order of 100 ms. We observed that the FC delay is lower than the RC delay (Fig. 17). This is explained by the fact that the end-users in the train instantaneously send generated data into the core thus occupying a larger percentage of the WiMax transmission slot as compared to the IP-router initiated communication (RC). This result is quite counter-intuitive as compared to typical TCP traffic and is explained by the manner in which bandwidth allocation is done in the WiMax channel, taking AP buffer values into consideration in conjunction with BS (GW) values. Fig. 18 shows the average response time per file requested by the end-user; the average response time increases almost linearly as the load increases and is the order of 2-3 ms. Average response time is defined as the time required for the system to fetch the first packet in a file since a request has been made.
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In this paper we have proposed an efficient framework to provide bandwidth-on-demand to moving metro trains in a hybrid (integrated) wireless-optical environment called light-trains. In particular, we introduce a new node architecture that provides for cross-medium design between wireless and optical domains. Namely, at the optical layer we use modified light-trail technology that provides bandwidth-on-demand to nodes with each node supporting a wireless gateway. The wireless GW supports a point-to-point service aware WiMax system that facilitates provisioning of bandwidth to a fast-moving train. The light-trail optical layer and WiMax wireless layer are conjoined to support ultra-fast hand-off that is absolutely essential in provisioning of this bandwidth. To do so, we also propose a protocol that enables dynamic bandwidth provisioning across the multiple physical mediums, detailing message types and hand-off functionalities. This protocol is analyzed from a delay perspective. Finally, a sample simulation study is also presented to numerically gauge delay as a function of load for different services and also comparison with legacy SONET/SDH networks is shown.
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NaviSensor technology as a breakthrough in the navigation of the blind

70% of our information comes through vision. As blind people put this in a very simple way: “we live in the world of seers”. Thinking of what it would be like to lose our sight the first things that come to our mind are the lack of pictures, films, sights and reading. However, in reality the biggest problem for blind people is the narrowing possibility of navigation. It is not an exaggeration to state that – in a certain sense – navigation is harder for the blind than it is for the disabled.

Zojox-2000 Ltd. had set an ambitious goal to themselves when, funded by the Hungarian research and development program KMOP 2009-1.1.1., started with the realisation of an appliance that would make both static and moving objects sensible for blind people and help them determine their own position in their navigation space, thus enabling them to reach their destination more safely.

Short description of the system

NaviSensor maps its surroundings in 360° by a continuous circular 40 kHz ultrasound sweep. It determines the distance of obstacles by the running time (time elapsed from the emission to the return) of the ultrasound sign reflected by landmark objects. The transmission and reception of the ultrasound is made possible by one single piece of universal 60° conic ultrasound capsule. Circular mapping is done by a parabolic mirror, which is specially designed for this purpose and which rotates the cluster that exits the capsule, just like in a lighthouse. By using a mirror for the 360° sweep one single ultrasound device is enough, while the parabola also performs the concentration of the emitted cluster, which results in a stronger transmission and reception sign, thus enhancing the range of the appliance.

Information of space (distance and place) are mapped by ultrasound and encoded to sound information which is transmitted to the user through a 5.1 headphone. The sound system ensures spatial mapping, as each sound comes from the direction of an obstacle. A more exact perception of the direction is made possible by different sound motifs representing different directions. Distance is represented by volume; the nearer an object, the louder the sound motif is. When an obstacle is getting dangerously near, the user is warned by an accelerating intermission of the sound motif.

Areas of research done for the development of NaviSensor technology

- Present general knowledge about ultrasound navigation, especially considering animals in nature using ultrasound navigation (e.g. bats, dolphins)
- Practical problems of the presently best attainable ultrasound distance measuring technique
- Getting familiar with appliances developed especially for the blind, applying of technologies in order to compare results
- Research on the navigation and traffic issues of blind people
- Anatomical and physiological background of processing auditory information

Research results and the present state of development give reassuring proof that the developed technology is not only operable, but also results in an appliance which can be used in practice.

Info: www.navisensor.hu
Abstract — In the paper the method of a low pass filter design for a receiver with zero intermediate frequency is presented. Definition of design criteria is performed on the system level instead of specific filter features determination. The design process uses differential evolution algorithm. Main part of the paper describes a creation of cost function and the method of its application in cooperation with the used algorithm. Conclusion of the paper presents a found solution and its comparison with commonly used filters.

Index Terms — differential evolution, low pass filter, transfer function, stochastic algorithm

I. INTRODUCTION

The continuously increased demands on the electronic circuits being used in telecommunications impose raising requirements on their optimal design taking technological, economical, construction and other limitations into account. Therefore research must be focused on new methods for optimized design of electronic circuits [2]. One of new approaches to this challenge are the stochastic algorithms. These algorithms are being used to numerical solving of complex optimization problems. The main advantage of stochastic algorithms is the easy applicability in many areas of research and design. Next major feature is the ability of effective solving of multi-criterion optimization problems with a large number of independent variables. One of application fields for using the stochastic algorithms is the optimized design of functional blocks for communication systems.

This paper deals with determination of coefficients for transfer function of a low pass filter which is applied in a zero intermediate frequency receiver whose block diagram is shown in Fig. 1. Such receiver structure has been published in [4]. In the design process the well known algorithm of differential evolution (DE) described in detail in [1] has been used.

II. APPLICATION OF DE FOR DETERMINATION OF FILTER COEFFICIENTS

The application objective of DE algorithm is to determine the coefficients of a low pass filter transfer function which for the given type of modulation and for the given signal-to-noise ratio minimizes the symbol error ratio of data transfer between transmitter and receiver taking some limitation into account: fixed order, causality and stability of filter. This type of task is in [4] referred to as highly topical.

For this application the sixth order switched capacitor or switched current filter is assumed. The transfer function of this filter is given by formula (1). For using DE algorithm the association of particular variables (filter coefficients) to chromosomes of individual have to be defined (Fig. 2). Therefore, the individual is represented by fourteen element vector where every element represents one coefficient of a searched transfer function in the form of real number without other limitations.

\[ H(z) = b_0 \cdot z^6 + b_1 \cdot z^5 + b_2 \cdot z^4 + b_3 \cdot z^3 + b_4 \cdot z^2 + b_5 \cdot z + b_6 \]

The only modification of DE algorithm compared to the version described in [1] is the method of a new individual creation which is given by formula (2). This modification which is in detail described in [6] enabled a particular increase of convergence speed against the original implementation of DE algorithm.

Fig. 1. Block diagram of receiver with zero intermediate frequency
The formula (2) describes the creation of \( j \)-th chromosome as linear combination of \( j \)-th chromosomes of three randomly selected individuals from current population and \( j \)-th chromosome of the best created individual till. The algorithm input constants are summarized in Table I and their meaning is explained in detail in [1], [5], [6] and [7]. The values of constants have been selected taking conclusions from [5] into account. The criterion for algorithm termination is the maximum number of generations \( G_{\text{max}} \).

The model uses signal processing in base band for simplicity. It does not cause significant deviation of the results compared with reality [3]. The model is universal with respect to the number of QAM states \( M \). It works with pseudorandom sequence of symbols \( X \) which can be assumed as a sequence of pseudorandom integer numbers from interval \( <0, M-1> \) where \( M \) is the number of QAM modulation states. This sequence is used as an input parameter for the mathematical model of QAM modulator which associates the corresponding points in signal space to particular members of the sequence according to a predefined signal point constellation. By this procedure the QAM modulated signal is being created in base band which is mathematically represented as a complex number sequence. The length of this sequence is the same as the length of the sequence \( X \). It is necessary for every symbol from the sequence \( X \) to assign \( N_{\text{samp}} \) of samples. In that way the sequence of signal samples \( s_{\text{QAM}} \) is created. The signals assigned to I (In phase) and Q (Quadrature) planes are obtained by splitting of every \( s_{\text{QAM}} \) sequence member on real and imaginary components.

The transfer via the radio channel is mathematically modeled by adding of signals representing noise and interference which are given as the sequence of samples \( n_I \) and \( n_Q \) for I and Q planes respectively. In that way the testing signals have been created and the ones will be used for testing of solution represented by actual transfer function \( H(z) \). After processing of both signals from I and Q planes by low pass filters and after mathematical elimination of filter delay \( \tau \) the signal samples are added. Before adding the samples from the Q plane they are multiplied by imaginary unit \( j \). The calculation of delay \( \tau \) is given by formula (3), where \( N \) is the number of samples of the received signal \( T_{\text{sig}} \) before filtration, \( \text{Pad} \) is the number of zero samples which must be added to the original sequences for correct working of the simulation of filtering process, and \( T_{\text{sigfilt}} \) is the received signal after filtration.

\[
\tau = N + \text{Pad} - \max \left\{|\text{corr}(T_{\text{sig}}, T_{\text{sigfilt}})|\right\} \quad (3)
\]

The sequence of complex number has been created by the described procedure. This sequence is in the next step down-sampled \( N_{\text{samp}} \) times. A new sequence of complex numbers has its length identical with the sequence \( X \) and represents the sequence of points in signal space at receiving side. It is an input argument for the mathematical model of QAM decision. The QAM decision assigns an integer number from interval \( <0, M-1> \) to every member of the input sequence and creates a sequence of received symbols. By comparing both transmitted and received sequences the symbol error ratio \( \text{SER} \) is calculated by formula (4). The symbol error ratio is the value of a cost function for actual solution represented by coefficients of the transfer function \( H(z) \).

\[
\text{SER} = \frac{N_{\text{err}}}{N_{\text{comb}}} \quad (4)
\]
In the course of DE algorithm the cost function has been calculated for every new originated individual by the previously described process. At every cost cycle approximately \( N_{\text{symb}} = 10^4 \) of symbols were transferred. \( N_{\text{err}} \) is the number of incorrectly received symbols. This value is a compromise between calculation time and accuracy of cost function.

### TABLE I

**VALUES OF CONTROL CONSTANTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of model</th>
<th>Control constants of DE algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfer rate ( DR )</td>
<td>1 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unknown quantities</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of symbols ( N_{\text{sym}} )</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of generations</td>
<td>( G_{\text{max}} ) = 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples per symbol</td>
<td>8 ( N_{\text{samp}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of population individuus</td>
<td>( NP ) = 280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of modulation states</td>
<td>16 ( M )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover ratio</td>
<td>( CR ) = 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal - to - noise ratio</td>
<td>( SNR ) = 18 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting coefficient</td>
<td>( F_1 ) = 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting coefficient</td>
<td>( F_2 ) = 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output signal power</td>
<td>( P_{\text{out}} ) = 1 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency boundary of interference</td>
<td>( f ) = 275 kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Applying the DE algorithm, the transfer function (5) which does not correspond to any standard approximation was found. Moreover, no requirements for the filter must be predefined except for its order.

\[
H(z) = 1.0722 z^{-1} + 0.7945 z^{-2} + 2.5056 z^{-3} + 2.6168 z^{-4} + 2.7587 z^{-5} + 1.7414 z^{-6} + 1.5783 z^{-7} \tag{5}
\]

The requirements for filter stability and causality are tested during the optimizing process. These requirements are necessary for its correct operation and ability to be realized by circuits based on switched capacitor or switched currents technology. The check analysis indicates that the found transfer function (5) is stable and causal. Frequency response of the found filter is shown in Fig. 4 together with frequency response of the matched filter which is calculated for rectangular pulses and modulation rate \( DR/\log_2 M = 250 \) kBD (see Table I).

In Figs. 5a and 5b the constellations of signal points of the testing signal and signal after filtering by a filter with transfer function (5) are presented. These constellations were created for \( 10^5 \) symbols and for signal - to - noise ratio 18 dB.

By using of the found filter the bit error ratio is significantly decreased (about one or two orders) in comparison with filters with standard approximations. This comparison is shown in Fig. 6a and Fig. 6b. In this case the simulation was performed for a communication channel with power spectral density of noise which is shown in Fig. 7, i.e. it is not a standard AWGN channel. Theoretical estimation of the bit error ratio for assumed type of modulation was performed according to the formula (6), where \( E_s \) is the energy of one symbol and \( N_0 \) is the power spectral density of added white noise. The bit error ratio for all simulations was calculated from the symbol error ratio by the formula (7), where \( M \) is the number of modulation states. Selection of filters for comparison was inspired by experiments presented in [3], [4]. Parameters of compared filters are summarized in Table II.

\[
\text{BER}_{\text{theor}} = \frac{2}{\log_2 M} \left[ \frac{1}{M-1} \right] \text{erfc} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N_0}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{M-1} \right) \text{erfc} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{N_0}} \right) \tag{6}
\]

\[
\text{BER} = \frac{\text{SER}}{\log_2 M} \tag{7}
\]

![Fig. 4. Frequency response of found filter and matched filters](image-url)

![Fig. 5a. Constellation diagram before filtration](image-url)
TABLE II
PARAMETERS OF COMPARED FILTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of filter</th>
<th>Filter order</th>
<th>Cut-off frequency [kHz]</th>
<th>Passband ripple [dB]</th>
<th>Stopband ripple [dB]</th>
<th>Roll-off factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inverse Chebyshev</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauer</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised cosine filter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matched filter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical guess</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Found filter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For comparison, simulation of BER was performed for standard AWGN channel, too. The results are shown in Fig. 8 for the found filter and matched filter.
V. CONCLUSION

Application of stochastic algorithms enabled to put into the filter design various and often contradictory requirements which are hardly implementable simultaneously by standard design procedures. Based on the attained results it can be assumed that stochastic algorithms are applicable to the other functional blocks of the system, too. Essentially the whole system can be designed based only on predefined requirements on the functionality of whole system without definition of requirements for its particular functional blocks. Slight disadvantage of stochastic algorithms is a considerable time consumption of the design process. This disadvantage can be eliminated by parallel processing of stochastic algorithm described in [7].
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Distributed and anonymous way of the malware detection

Peter Kenyeres, Gabor Feher

Abstract — In this paper we introduce a multi-domain architecture and novel algorithms for malicious (potential botnet) activity recognition based on NetFlow network traffic statistics. Scalability and robustness were the main principles during the design of this architecture. We demonstrate a new method is able to recognize botnet participant computers (zombies), while the algorithms provide utmost anonymity to network operators. Furthermore, we also provide an aggregation scheme to significantly reduce the number of NetFlow records. This is important to handle the current high-speed networks efficiently.

Index Terms — anonymous, botnet, distributed malware detection, netflow

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the Internet and the services are built upon it turned into part of our everyday life. Thus, we have to face the drawbacks of the technology more and more frequently. The criminals quickly recognized the exceptional possibilities lie in the new medium. In some cases, such as spam or phishing a whole business model was built around these activities. Nowadays, numerous and different abuses may threaten the confiding and/or careless users. And the number of users concerned by these attacks is continuously growing [1]. Generally speaking, the botnets give the technical background of the largest attacks.

Actually, botnet is vast network of compromised hosts under the control of single master who possesses the ability to launch crippling denial of service attacks (DoS), send enormous quantities of unsolicited e-mail messages (spam) and infect thousands of vulnerable systems with privacy-violating spyware or serving phishing sites, performing click fraud, etc. Besides, they also have aggressive exploit activity as they rope in new vulnerable systems to increase size of the network. The detection of above mentioned attacks is a relatively easy task, there are numerous solutions in the literature, e. g. [2] [3].

Despite these solutions the elimination or paralysis of attacks’ sources raises more serious challenges. Researchers have proposed many different approaches to detect botnet behaviour in the monitored network. Gu, G. et al. show correlation based approach of the botnet detection process [9]. Livadas, C. et al. [10] integrate the recent results of the machine learning technique to detect botnet activities and [11], [12] use compression methods before the classification of the network traffic. However, one of the most common problem of the currently existing solutions, almost all of them are designed to use data from one single network only.

In this paper we introduce a novel security architecture which is reliable, efficiently scalable and can be anonymous. The architecture relies on a structured peer-to-peer (P2P) network to satisfy the scalability and the global availability requirements. Considering the huge amount of traffic data NetFlow [4] is applied to reduce the storage space required for traffic logs. Furthermore, since joined peers do not have any intention of revealing their traffic properties, so data anonymization is a key issue in the system. However, network ad-ministrators will be able to recognize new threats and they can react to the infections more efficiently by contribution of our work.

In order to measuring the risk of the botnet threat together with gathering inputs to evaluate our algorithms: during six months long test period a HoneyPot [5] was run over an unused IP domain. Table 1 summarizes the results. Roughly speaking, one suspicious attempt occurred in every five seconds averagely. According to this, we may relate that the botnets still mean serious threat to the world computer networks. And we may deduct the inference that more than 80 per cent of the captured botnet clients still use IRC protocol as a C&C channel. However, the considerable part of the attacks embittering our everyday life (spam and particularly DDoS) are successful, only if they are executed with many computers in near identical time from many distinct places. Therefore, extended and distributed protections are desirable, which can be reached by collecting data from different local networks.

Thus, the whole network reconnaisance, disablement and elimination become quicker and easier. But it brings up the following problems: the sample recognition can be quite difficult in the networks because of the different structure and their unique traffic patterns. The users' contrariness may mean additional difficulty because the network operators do not want to reveal their managed networks’ structure or communication processes.
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TABLE I
RESULTS OF OUR HONEYPOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measured value</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attack record</td>
<td>3 003 194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean attack frequency (per day)</td>
<td>18 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logged submission</td>
<td>516 838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captured infected file</td>
<td>10 689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captured unique malware</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captured botnet clients</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different IP address</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The highest attempt from single IP</td>
<td>31 295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin countries</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Currently, this area of the botnet issue is quite open. Exactly, this is where we can fill the vacuum and can prove the necessity of a distributed architecture which provides efficiency, robustness and utmost anonymity. We put steps to organizing the defense based on the separately collected network traffic data. Furthermore, the anonymity guaranteed by our algorithms helps to win the users’ confidence.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the system model is introduced including the system architecture and the different type of nodes participating in it. In Section 3 the phases of our system and realization of the design priorities are presented. In Section 4 efficiency of algorithms are evaluated. Finally, the results are summarized in Section 5.

II. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

The architecture consists of four elements: agents, honeypots, data processor and distributors. This layout is depicted in Figure 1. The first three are connected via a structured P2P network which implements Distributed Hash Table (DHT). This property helps to the participants join into system easily, hence to reach a globally available and distributed malware detection system. Further, it also improves scalability and robustness of the structure.

![Fig. 1 The main components of the structure](image)

The roles of the components are the following:

- **Agents**: These nodes are located between the border of the local area network and the Internet. They interactively dump and analyze the local network traffic to detect possible attacks, e.g. DDoS, spam, scan, etc.
  - **HoneyPots**: These entities mark the suspicious traffic. When a new threat was detected, honeypot creates traffic traces of the malware, mark their command and control (C&C) channel and send the marked and anonymized trace to the current data processor.
  - **Data Processor**: It is a specific agent node. Only one data processor exists in the network at same time, but this role is passed on at certain times. It collects the reports of malicious activities and the compressed and anonymized flows from agents as well as the anonymized and marked flows from honeypots. Its task is to create clusters from the data, evaluate the results. Furthermore, if malicious activities are detected it will have to send the network traces to a distributors.
  - **Distributors**: They are responsible for sharing the collected anomalous traces with the agents. Distributors are independent from the P2P network. They accept requests from the data processors and serve the available sample updates to the agents.

III. METHODOLOGY

In this chapter, we describe the details of the aforementioned task, such as, flow aggregation and sample creation.

A. Flow Aggregation

NetFlow [4] logs are the inputs of this method. The most important fields of these logs are the source and destination IP addresses, source and destination port numbers and the transport protocol, since these define a session. In that case, if the same IP addresses are communicating with the same transport protocol, and at least one of them on the same port. Formally, if it is true for two flows A and B that

\[ IP_{\text{src}}A = IP_{\text{src}}B, IP_{\text{dest}}A = IP_{\text{src}}B, Port_{\text{src}}A = Port_{\text{dest}}B \text{ OR Port}_{\text{src}}A = Port_{\text{src}}B \]

These flows can be put into the same group, because they represent the different direction of the same connection. Hence, we can assume that the two records belong to the same session so it is unnecessary to treat them separately. This idea gives the key for the compression.

In the first step flow regrouping can be done by putting flow records with the similar connection parameters to the same group to represent each group by a single flow. Then, outlier filtering is applied for both directions to separately handle the salient data. This filtering due to all dimensions respectively is done by computing the mean and the variance of the values. If the variance is relatively high (\(\sigma/m_n\) is greater than a fixed \(\sigma_0\)), a new group will be created for the most outlying flow. This step is iterated until there will be no more outliers. The last step is the aggregation of the remaining flows in each group to obtain a representant. The values for packets, octets and active time will be added up, the earliest start time and the latest end time will be selected, and 5 more values will be computed: number of flows aggregated, mean packet size, mean active time, duration (the time elapsed between the
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earliest start time and the latest end time), up/down+down/up (up/down stands for the sum of octets in the flows with direction up/down, but these directions can be chosen arbitrarily). This 5-tuple will represent a group. The IP addresses, port numbers and the transport protocol are omitted to get a kind of anonymity.

B. Flow Processing and Sample Generation

The incoming aggregated NetFlow logs have to be classified to obtain flow samples belong to the botnet traffic we want to detect. Logs are sent by agents which are detected an attack. If this agent is a honeypot, the traffic logs will contain botnet traffic related flows (C&C channel communication and attack) without any legal background traffic. These flows are trusted in the sense that these are originated from a trusted entity and can be used as a sample of the botnet traffic. Therefore, these flows are referred as marked flows. The flows captured by honeypots that do not belong to the C&C channel can be marked differently or simply omitted. The clustering is applied to partition the data set that consists of marked and unmarked flows. Several previous works [7] [8] demonstrated that clustering of Internet traffic using flow statistics has the ability to group together flows according to the same traffic. The unmarked flows are used to improve the precision of the classifier. In this paper we applied the X- Means algorithm [6]. After clustering supervised learning and maximum likelihood estimation is applied to identify botnet traffic related cluster(s). It is not our purpose to identify all of the clusters, our aim is just to select those belong to botnet communication.

C. C&C Channel Recognition

After agents have downloaded the samples they can start the C&C channel recognition procedure. First of all, all agents have to aggregate their flows to present a similar data structure like the aggregated sample. It not just decreases the size of the data set, but offers relatively fast search and preserves anonymity as well. To select all botnet related flows from the agent’s flow set the clustering method discussed in Section 3.2 can be applied. Let \( x \) be a five dimensional vector from the agents aggregated flow set (described in Section 3.1). Then the following steps are required:

1. Calculate the distances of the feature vector \( x \) from the cluster center(s) in the samples:
   \[ d_1 = d(x, C_1), \ldots, d_r = d(x, C_r) \]
2. Select an index \( i \), if there exists such that \( d_i < \varepsilon \) (Note that if such an index exists, then it will be unique)

We can assume that, if those vectors are closer to the botnet related cluster than certain \( d_i \) they belong to the botnet communication. Because if this vector is added to the training data set, then after the next iteration of X-Means the vector will be an element of this cluster.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We implemented our algorithms in native C and we have created a testbed network in the laboratory of the university to collect NetFlow logs which contain certain malware traffic.

At first, the efficiency of the aggregation scheme was analyzed separately by each campus log. Note that these traffic data came from a live network environment. And for all the 432 of 10-minute logs the compression ratio of the algorithm was between 0.3 and 0.35. Which means it reduced the size of the data set by 2/3. The average single-threaded preprocessing running time for one 10-minute log was less than 10 seconds. Figure 2 shows the size of the original and the aggregated data sets in the time period of one day.

Further, we tested the C&C channel recognition algorithm. The data set came from campus live network and the laboratory testbed. We simulated three virtual LAN networks and in-
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V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have shown architecture for anonymous and distributed malware detection. After the basics of system we presented our solution proposals to provide scalability, robustness and anonymization together with generate and distribute malware sample in multi-domain environment. In addition, we proposed two algorithms: one for detection with the help of samples which was generated in different subnets and another one for the reduction of the huge amount of network statistical data. We demonstrated the strength of the algorithms: i) the detection algorithm was able to find botnet clients using the aggregated samples, ii) the aggregation method reduced the NetFlow entries to one third in practice. We note that these samples provide anonymity in that sense they do not contain any kind of valid IP information. Consequently, each and every user can be sure that their network traffic is not revealed totally. As a result, there is no need to establish mutual and unconditional trust among all participants. This property of the architecture can facilitate to make extensive use of the system.
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Improving TCP-friendliness and Fairness for mHIP

Tatiana Polishchuk and Andrei Gurtov

Abstract—Multihomed environments are getting increasingly common, especially for mobile users. mHIP was designed to provide secure multipath data transmission for the multihomed hosts and boost throughput of a single TCP connection by effectively distributing data over multiple available paths.

In this paper we develop a TCP-friendly congestion control scheme for mHIP secure multipath scheduling solution. We enable two-level control over aggressiveness of the multipath flows to prevent stealing bandwidth from the traditional transport connections in the shared bottleneck. We demonstrate how to achieve a desired level of friendliness at the expense of inessential performance degradation. A series of simulations verifies that mHIP meets the criteria of TCP-compatibility, TCP-equivalence and TCP-equal share, preserving friendliness to UDP and another mHIP traffic. Additionally we show that the proposed congestion control scheme improves TCP-fairness of mHIP.

Keywords: Internet, HIP, multipath routing, TCP-friendliness, goodput

I. INTRODUCTION

Multipath data transfer is a promising technique for enhancing reliability of Internet connections. New mobile devices and laptops are equipped with several network interfaces (e.g., WLAN, GPRS, 3G) and have multiple links to the Internet, which results in availability of multiple paths between a source and destination end host.

TCP [24] comprises a major share of the total Internet traffic. Among its other management tasks, TCP controls segment size, the rate at which data is exchanged, and network traffic congestion [25]. However, traditional TCP flow is constrained to use one path only per one connection between two communicating hosts. There are efforts within the networking community to overcome this limitation. Most of these efforts rely on the mechanisms which aggressively compete for network resources. Naive designs and implementations risk substantial unfairness to well-behaved TCP flows. Proper per-flow congestion control is required to limit aggressiveness of the proposed multipath solutions.

Other multipath communication methods, proposed to efficiently utilize multiple access links, unable to take advantage of all available multipath bandwidth because they do not properly consider end-to-end delay of packet transmission. Out-of-order data arrivals at a receiver cause unpredictable underutilization of spare network capacity. Packet reordering and non-congestion packet loss can significantly degrade TCP performance.

TCP-friendliness has emerged as a measure of correctness in Internet congestion control. The notion of TCP-friendliness was introduced to restrict non-TCP flows from exceeding the bandwidth of a conforming TCP running under comparable conditions. Protocols commonly meet this requirement by using some form of AIMD (Additive Increase Multiplicative Decrease) congestion window management, or by computing a transmission rate based on equations derived from AIMD model.

In the prior work [8] we proposed a multipath solution on HIP layer [7]. Multipath HIP (mHIP) combines the advantages of HIP advanced security with the benefits of multipath routing such as better resource utilization, increased throughput and fault tolerance. HIP multihoming extension [26] supports multiaddressing in a functional layer between IP and transport and provides HIP hosts with the ability to use multiple access networks simultaneously. Simultaneous Multiaccess (SIMA) [21] utilizes multihoming for assigning separate transport connections independently to different paths. We take alternative approach by using multiple parallel paths simultaneously inside one transport connection. mHIP multipath scheduler effectively distributes incoming data over available paths on the per-packet basis, taking into account their rapidly changing parameters. mHIP is a generic multipath solution. It was designed to schedule not only the most common TCP traffic, but also data from different transport protocols, which are not necessarily TCP-friendly, e.g. UDP, SCTP, DCCP.

Simple congestion control measures were suggested to provide reliable multipath data delivery.

In this paper we study TCP-friendliness of multipath HIP design with respect to coexisting connections. The contributions of this work include the development of a two-level congestion control concept for a reliable multipath data transmission and methods of tuning aggressiveness of individual flows from the multipath bundle in order to provide a desirable level of TCP-friendliness while avoiding significant performance degradation. The proposed congestion control scheme also improves TCP-fairness for mHIP, which allows to relax the original assumption that the chosen paths should necessarily be bottleneck-disjoint.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II summarizes the related work. Preliminaries are presented in Section III and contain the review of multipath HIP simple congestion control and definitions of TCP-friendliness. Section IV presents the step-by-step work which was done to enable TCP-friendly congestion control for mHIP. We verify the correctness of the proposed congestion control scheme in Section V. Conclusions and future work are given in Section VI.
II. RELATED WORK

Despite the fact that multiple multipath solutions for multihomed hosts has recently emerged, multipath routing is not yet widely deployed in practice. Researchers study advantages of its implementation on different layers of the TCP/IP stack.

Transport layer solutions, such as SCTP [15], MPTCP [6], TCP-MH [18], can naturally obtain the most recent information on the quality of different paths and detect congestion situations in timely manner. For example, SCTP can perform measurements across several paths simultaneously, and then map flows on one or another path. However implementing multiaddressing and multipath functionality on transport layer involves significant re-factoring of the code, in particular separating connection and path-specific components so that functions such as congestion control could be implemented per each path. Transport layer multipath solutions are not easy to deploy also because they involve inevitable changes to the corresponding applications.

Network layer approaches [2], [5] are generally easy to deploy and involve only minimal changes, contrary to the application and transport layer solutions. They are transparent to the applications, but do not support proper per-flow congestion control, which is needed to provide the required level or TCP-friendliness to the external connections.

Wedge-layer multipath solutions implemented in mHIP [8], LIP6 [11], MIP6 [16] have an advantage of being able to maintain multiaddressing information across transport associations. The transport activity between two endpoints may well be able to use multiaddressing immediately and with no further administrative overhead. Edge-based locator exchange protocols can be incorporated without necessitating modification to any host’s IP or transport modules, which makes them the best choice to provide generic multipath functionality for legacy Internet applications and transport protocols.

mHIP naturally solves the tasks which are challenging for any multipath design. These include providing end-to-end security for each individual multipath flows, facilitating the ability to traverse NATs and middleboxes, as well as mobility support, which are inherited from the standard HIP protocol implementation. mHIP identifies individual multipath flows with SPI (Security Parameters Index) specific for each path, which guarantees the proper packet to path assignment, contributes to the flow congestion control and helps to prevent output data sequence from reordering. As a wedge-layer solution mHIP design does not require modifications to any transport modules or applications. Legacy IPv4 and IPv6 applications unaware of multiple paths can benefit from it transparently.

There is an effort in the community to create new methods which effectively and TCP-friendly utilize a spare network capacity. In [9] authors created a parallel multipath TCP solution, which controls data transmission over coordinated multiple TCP connections. They stressed the importance of TCP-friendliness for multipath schemes and suggested a way to find a balance between effectiveness and fairness. Their work provided a motivation to design a TCP-friendly congestion control over multipath flows inside one TCP connection.

When data packets are sent over several paths inside one connection they can experience different end-to-end delays and arrive out of order. In case of TCP traffic, packet reordering causes significant performance degradation. The authors of [19] surveyed and analyzed relevant techniques on coping with multipath TCP packet reordering. They conclude that there exists no one-fits-all solution to solve the problem of packet reordering for multipath TCP. Basing on the methods [3], [4], [20], [29] we suggest the improvement for multipath HIP which reduced the level of reordering on the receiver and significantly improved TCP-friendliness of our scheme.

According to the resource pooling principle [28] when several subflows of one connection share a bottleneck, their resource consumption adds up. Multipath connections with a large number of TCP-friendly subflows can compete unfairly against a smaller number of regular TCP connections. Each subflow is as aggressive as a single TCP, and a bundle of n TCP-friendly subflows will hence use an approximately n times greater share of the bottleneck resource than they should.

TCP-fair multipath connection should displace no more TCP traffic than a traditional TCP stream would displace. A number of methods [9], [22], [10] were proposed to study and solve the TCP-fairness problem. The congestion control solution for mHIP, which we present further in this paper, is also designed to meet the TCP-fairness criterion.

III. PRELIMINARIES

A. TCP-friendliness Definitions

TCP-friendliness is a generic term describing a scheme that aims to use no more bandwidth than TCP uses. In this paper we study mHIP congestion control in view of the criteria proposed in [27]:

A TCP-compatible flow, in the steady state, should use no more bandwidth than a TCP flow under comparable conditions, such as packet-loss rate and round-trip time (RTT). However, a TCP-compatible congestion control scheme is not preferred if it always offers far lower throughput than a TCP flow.

A TCP-equivalent scheme merely ensures the same throughput as TCP when they experience identical network conditions. Although a TCP-equivalent scheme consumes TCP-equivalent bandwidth when working by itself, it may not coexist well with TCP in the Internet.

TCP-equal share is a more realistic but more challenging criterion than TCP-equivalence and states that a flow should have the same throughput as TCP if competing with TCP for the same bottleneck. A TCP-equivalent flow may not be TCP-equivalent share, but the opposite is always true.

To be able to meet all three criteria a TCP-friendly scheme should use the same bandwidth as TCP in a steady-state region, while being aggressive enough to capture the available bandwidth and being responsive enough to protect itself from congestion, as the packet-loss condition changes in the paths in the transient state. Aggressiveness of a scheme describes how the scheme increases the throughput of a flow before encountering the next packet loss, while responsiveness describes how the scheme decreases the throughput of a flow when the packet-loss condition becomes severe.
In what follows we will examine the ability of our multi-path solution to adhere to the proposed definitions of TCP-friendliness. To evaluate its performance we introduce the factor of friendliness metric:

$$ F(f\mathrm{low}) = \frac{T(f\mathrm{low})}{T_\mathrm{TCP}} $$

Here $T(\cdot)$ denotes the average flow throughput in Mbps. $FF = 1$ indicates the solution satisfies the strongest TCP-equal share criterion, while solution resulting in $FF > 1$ is more aggressive than a typical TCP and the one with $FF < 1$ may be not TCP-compatible.

B. Review of Multipath HIP with Simple Congestion Control

In the prior research [8] HIP multipath scheduling showed a potential to aggregate about 99% of the sum of individual paths bandwidth. Simple congestion detection and avoidance are able to prevent the sending rate of the multipath traffic from significant degradation caused by congestion in the paths. Before we start evaluating mHIP congestion control scheme in the view of TCP-friendliness criteria, we recall how it operates.

1) Connection establishment

During the base exchange HIP obtains information about the number of available interfaces on both communicating hosts and the number of available paths with the initial parameters such as available bandwidth and propagation delay.

2) Updating parameters of the paths

mHIP uses HIP signaling packets for path probing. The frequency of heartbeats can vary depending on the particular setup.

3) Sending data

HIP multipath scheduler optimally splits data among the paths according to their capacities. The details of scheduling algorithm are provided in [8]. mHIP stores packet-to-path assignments at the sender and also in the ESP packet headers, which are used according the HIP standard [13]. SPI number, specified in the packet header corresponds to the path which is assigned to deliver this particular packet.

4) Congestion control

Marking and multipath congestion avoidance techniques provide a simple congestion control for mHIP. One packet round-trip time is marked on the departure to each path. The expected delivery time of the marked packet is stored at the sender and then compared to its actual arrival time value on the receipt of the corresponding ACK. If the estimated delivery time and the actual arrival time of the marked packet are noticeably different, the scheduler considers the path to be congested. Multipath congestion avoidance technique specifies two indicators of the path congestion:

- Case 1: standard TCP dupack action, when the sender is retransmitting the packet after the receipt of three duplicate acknowledgments from the receiver;

- Case 2: observed delivery time of the marked packet exceeds its corresponding expected delivery time by more than some preset value.

If any of the two indicators suggest congestion, the path is temporarily closed and the packets are redirected to the other available paths. mHIP sends regular probes to the congested path to detect when the path becomes again free for reliable data transmission.

5) Assumptions and limitations

Our approach corresponds to the class of disjoint multipath routing [23]. The paths are restricted to have independent bottlenecks. The scheduler resides at the sender side, no information from the receiver is available other than TCP acknowledgments (ACKs) received by the sender. At least one available path should not be congested at any given point of time.

IV. IMPROVING mHIP STEP BY STEP

Next we examine mHIP congestion control in the view of TCP-friendliness criteria. We analyze the reasons why multipath flows not always fairly share available bandwidth with TCP and propose the methods to improve TCP-friendliness of our multipath solution.

A. Experimental Evaluation of mHIP with Simple Congestion Control

All simulations presented in this work were run using ns-2 network simulator [1]. A new protocol agent was implemented on the basis of TCP New Reno to deal with the multipath flow controlled by HIP. Existing TCP and UDP modules were also used to simulate external cross-traffic competing with HIP multipath flows for bottleneck bandwidth.

Consider a simulation model shown in Figure 1. A TCP traffic flow, controlled by multipath HIP, is sent from $n0$ to $n1$ over two available paths: $Path\_1 = n0 - n2 - n1$ and $Path\_2 = n0 - n3 - n1$ with the bandwidth of 8Mbps and 4Mbps respectively. Since multipath scheduler is distributing the traffic according to bandwidth-delay product of the paths, for simplicity the propagation delay is fixed to be the same for all the links and equals 30 ms. mHIP is calculating the end-to-end propagation delays in the paths, they can consist of any number of connected links and intermediate nodes. Node $n4$ is used for the path $n2 - n1 - n4$ construction, which accommodates a standard TCP New Reno flow, competing against one flow from the mHIP bundle for the bottleneck.
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Figure 2. mHIP flow competes with TCP New Reno flow in Path1.

The proposed congestion control method is definitely more aggressive than AIMD policy of a typical TCP.

B. Designing TCP-friendly Congestion Control for mHIP

We want our mHIP connections to coexist with other traffic providing opportunities for all to progress satisfactory. To limit aggressiveness of the flow growth we propose the following two-level congestion control scheme - per-path AIMD plus TCP global stream congestion control on top of it, and introduce a sender-side buffer to provide better control on the packet sequence in congestion situations.

The proposed twofold congestion control scheme is illustrated in Figure 3. Global congestion controller coordinates the work of the individual per-path controllers and balances traffic load between the paths according to their available capacity. If cwnd capacity of the quickest path is exceeded, the path with the next minimum estimated arrival time is chosen.

An important property of the proposed scheme is that per-path controllers are connected so that the aggregated congestion window is a simple sum of per-flow congestion windows. Same rule applies to the threshold values. Connecting per-path congestion control parameters in such a way we guarantee the resulting multipath bundle behaves as a single TCP if all are sent to the same path.

Below we summarize the proposed updates to the mHIP multipath scheduling design presented in subsection III-B. Parts 1,2 and 5 (connection establishment, path parameters updates and assumptions) remain unchanged, while there are some additions to the rest:
3) Sending data
After per-path congestion control limitations were introduced the scheduler takes in consideration the current sizes of per-path congestion windows. If \( cwnd \) capacity of the best path is exceeded, the path with the next minimum estimated arrival time is chosen. If there is no available capacity in any of the paths, the packet is placed to the sender-side buffer until new ACK arrives.

4) Congestion control
Marking is now removed from the congestion control scheme. Multipath congestion avoidance retains only one congestion indication, the standard TCP dupack event. Upon receipt of a preset number of dupacks (3 for standard TCP) the scheduler determines from which path the packet is missing and halves \( cwnd \) and \( ssthresh \) values of the corresponding path. This action reduces data intake in the congested path and automatically redirects traffic to the other paths which have available capacity. If there is no capacity in the paths, extra data goes to the sender-side buffer. Maximum capacity of the buffer is set to TCP receiver window size \( Rwin \), making it capable to occupy the maximum flight-size number of packets in case of severe congestion situations.

It should be noted that the congestion control parameters of the global TCP flow differs from the standard TCP New Reno only in the way how the congestion control window grows and decreases (AIMD parameters): the increase of the global \( cwnd \) is now dictated by the cumulative increase of the per-flow congestion windows and the reaction in losses (dupack action) has changed so that the global \( cwnd \) is not divided by half, but only the window corresponding to the path from which the packet was lost is decreasing.

C. Experimental Evaluation of mHIP with the Updated Congestion Control
To validate correctness of the proposed congestion control scheme we repeat the experiments with the simulation scenario described in Section IV-A. Again, one of the multipath HIP flows sent to Path1 meets with the external TCP flow in the bottleneck link \( n2 - n1 \), while the other flow sent to Path2 is interrupted by UDP cross-traffic in the link \( n0 - n3 \).

The resulting throughputs of the two flows competing in Path1 are shown in Figure 4. mHIP average flow throughput is \( T(mHIP) = 5.46 \text{Mbps} \) and TCP takes about \( T(TCP) = 3.98 \text{Mbps} \) resulting in the fairness factor \( FF = \frac{T(mHIP)}{T(TCP)} = 0.89 \).

Here we observe the opposite extreme: mHIP flow behaves too leniently and is not able to occupy available bandwidth effectively. In the following section we analyze the problem and propose a method to solve it.

D. Balancing between Aggressiveness and Responsiveness
Competition with the external traffic naturally influences effectiveness of multipath scheduling. Mistakes in the expected delivery time estimations result in the output sequence reordering at the receiver. TCP sender receives multiple dupacks in response to reordering, which mHIP scheduler treats as an indication of congestion. In response to the congestion mHIP scheduler halves congestion window of the corresponding path, reducing aggressiveness of the traffic flow. This precaution could be too strict in case when the missing sequence numbers are not lost but just slightly delayed in competition with the external flows.

To differentiate between the reordering signals and actual losses we propose the following modifications to mHIP congestion control scheme. First, we increase \( dupthresh \) value defining the number or \( dupacks \) which serve as an indication of congestion. This method is proposed in the related work [4], [29] as a cure from the mild packet reordering. Compared with the default \( dupthresh \) of three, the proposed techniques improves connection throughput by reducing the number of unnecessary retransmissions. But one should adjust \( dupthresh \) value carefully since making it too large slows down the reaction of the system to the actual losses and can significantly degrade the overall performance in the networks with high loss rates.

Additionally we introduce a new time variable ADDR (allowable delay due to reordering), which keeps how much time has elapsed since the congestion situation in some path was reported. If the missing sequence number has arrived successfully during this allowable time period and the corresponding ACK arrives to the sender, \( cwnd \) and \( ssthresh \) of the path should be returned to the values prior to the congestion notification. ADDR is chosen to be less than the shortest RTT among the paths used to deliver multipath flow. It will assure accurate differentiation between the packets delayed due to reordering and their duplicates retransmitted after the loss was reported.

E. Controlling Friendliness with a Receiving Buffer
Another way to control aggressiveness of the multipath flow is to locate a sufficiently large buffer at the receiver and use SACK [12] together with SMART option [17]. Standard TCP with cumulative acknowledgement scheme often does not provide the sender with sufficient information to recover
quickly from packet losses. When TCP with SACK option is used, each acknowledgement contains the information about up to three non-contiguous blocks of data that have been received successfully. SMART variation of the SACK provides each acknowledgement with the sequence number of the last received packet that caused the receiver to generate the acknowledgement.

We include SACK with SMART option into our multi-path congestion control scheme as follows. When out-of-order packet arrives at the receiver, it is stored in the buffer and the receiver sends a cumulative acknowledgement with SACK containing the information on the sequence number of that packet. On the receipt of a duplicate acknowledgement the sender determines from which particular path the next expected packet is missing and counts the number of such events per path. After receipt of the three consecutive acknowledgements about the missing packet from the same path, the _dupack action_ is invoked and the missing packet is retransmitted in the standard New Reno way. The difference from the previous multipath HIP proposal is that the sender now stores the information about the packets, retrieved from the SACK, and does not retransmit the reordered packets, which are buffered at the receiver.

The buffer stores all the packets received out of order until the holes in the sequence are filled. It will hide multipath reordering from the global TCP and improve performance of the multipath connection. When the buffer capacity is limited, the packets arriving after the buffer is full, are dropped and considered lost. It will cause regular TCP retransmissions and reductions of the global congestion window. As a result, aggressiveness of the multipath flow is limited by the choice of the buffer size.

Figure 5 illustrates how the choice of the receiver buffer size influences the resulting factor of friendliness for some fixed network settings. The developer, seeking to achieve the optimal factor of friendliness \( FF = 1 \), should set the buffer size to about 453 packets in this particular setup.

The dependency between the buffer size and the friendliness factor could be non-monotonic in some small intervals. The reason is that the factor of friendliness is influenced by the number of reordered packets in the multipath HIP flows. If the capacity of the receiving buffer is limited and some packets are dropped, the recovery time can vary depending on the current congestion conditions. But in the global scope by increasing the size of the buffer, one can provide multipath flow with better conditions in comparison to the regular TCP against which it competes, making it more aggressive. The buffer of maximum capacity will accommodate all the packets reordered in competition against TCP. Hence, further increase of the buffer size will not influence the factor or friendliness any more.

V. Final Validation

Below we provide the final experimental validation of the effectiveness of our proposed modifications to mHIP congestion control. Again, we repeat the experiment described in Section IV-A with the last version of mHIP with two-level congestion control scheme and all the proposed modifications applied.

A. TCP-friendliness

Figure 6 illustrates significant improvement in TCP-friendliness of the mHIP flow when it competes against TCP for the bottleneck link bandwidth. Finally both mHIP and TCP flows are able to achieve comparable average throughputs of \( T(mHIP) = 3.80\text{Mbps} \) and \( T(TCP) = 3.71\text{Mbps} \) with the friendliness factor \( FF = \frac{T(mHIP)}{T(TCP)} = 1.02 \). The competition demonstrated high variation about the average during a short stabilization phase. This unfairness is rather moderate and can be tolerated as far as the flows quickly achieve stability and later coexist friendly.

B. UDP-friendliness

An interesting observation is that the second mHIP flow in Path2 behaves also about friendly competing against the UDP cross-traffic which we used to simulate variable network conditions between 5 and 11 seconds. On this interval mHIP achieves the throughput of \( T(mHIP) = 4.20\text{Mbps} \). The solid curve in Figure 7 corresponds to the UDP cross-traffic.
flow with the average flow throughput $T(UDP) = 3.98$Mbps. The flows fight during negligible time period and then find stability to share the bottleneck fairly with a moderate unfairness of $FF = \frac{T(mHIP2)}{T(UDP)} = 1.05$.

C. TCP-compatibility and TCP-equivalence

According to the definitions TCP-compatible flow, in the steady state, should use no more bandwidth than a TCP flow under comparable conditions, while TCP-equivalent scheme ensures the same throughput as TCP when they experience identical network conditions. We send mHIP to the empty 2-path network with no cross-traffic to determine how effectively the protocol is able to use a spare network capacity in the steady state.

Figure 8 shows mHIP flow occupies no more available bandwidth than a TCP flow sent to the same path making it TCP-compatible. Moreover, mHIP achieves the same average flow throughput of 7.8Mbps as TCP in the steady state and thus meets the criteria of TCP-equivalence.

D. TCP-fairness in the Shared Bottlenecks

A flow is TCP-fair if its arrival rate does not exceed the rate of a conformant TCP connection in the same circumstances. Put another way, a TCP-fair flow sharing a bottleneck link with $N$ other flows should receive less than or equal to $1/(N+1)$ of bandwidth available.

Previously mHIP multipath scheduling assumed the paths are bottleneck-disjoint. This automatically liberated us from the necessity to prove TCP-fairness of our solution since multiple flows of a single multipath HIP connection never shared the same bottleneck link. Now we would like to relax the original assumption about the bottleneck-disjointness of the paths. The two-level congestion control scheme introduced in this paper provides TCP-fairness of mHIP. We support the last statement with the following experimental results.

We modified the simulation model by adding one more parallel path between the nodes $n0$ and $n1$ to accommodate an additional mHIP flow and added link $n5-n6$ as shown in Fig. 9. TCP traffic flow, controlled by multipath HIP, is now sent from $n0$ to $n5$ over three available paths: $Path1 = n0-n2-n1-n5$, $Path2 = n0-n3-n1-n5$ and $Path3 = n0-n4-n1-n5$. $Path4 = n1-n5-n6$ now accommodates a standard TCP New Reno connection, which meets all the mHIP flows in the bottleneck link $n1-n5$. To provide compatible starting conditions for their competition all four paths are set to have similar end-to-end characteristics (RTT, queue lengths and types).

Multiple experiments with various path characteristics confirmed that mHIP flows inside one TCP connection share available bandwidth mostly fairly and still friendly to the external TCP flow. The observed friendliness factor lies within the interval $[0.95, 1.03]$. A typical example of such a bandwidth distribution is shown in Figure 10. mHIP bundle behaves almost as a standard TCP when all of its flows occasionally meet in one link. This result confirms that after we improved the congestion control scheme and limited the increase of the global TCP congestion window, our mHIP solution also meets the TCP-fairness criterion.

E. The Cost of Friendliness

We achieved the desired level of TCP-friendliness for our multipath HIP solution and would like to evaluate the cost in terms of performance degradation paid for this improvement.

We calculate the total throughput $TT$ of the traffic flow controlled by multipath HIP. In the experiment where mHIP with simple congestion control policy demonstrated an excessive unfriendliness competing against TCP NewReno, $TT(mHIP) = 6.45$Mbps. After we applied a series of modifications to mHIP congestion control, similar experiment with
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Fig. 10. Three mHIP flows from one connection compete against one TCP NewReno for the bottleneck bandwidth.

The TCP-friendly mHIP resulted in $TT(mHIP) = 5.30 \text{Mbps}$, which corresponds to $\sim 18\%$ performance reduction. A number of experiments with different network conditions confirmed the desired TCP-friendliness can be achieved at the cost of about $15\%-20\%$ performance degradation.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We showed a way how to tune aggressiveness of the multipath data transmission controlled by mHIP without losing its responsiveness in competition with cross-traffic. We designed a twofold congestion control scheme, and adjusted it to meet the TCP-friendliness definitions. Simulation results verify the improved congestion control algorithm meets TCP-compatibility, TCP-equivalence and TCP-equal share criteria under the proposed testing conditions, and allows mHIP to coexist friendly with TCP, UDP and mHIP connections.

The proposed congestion control scheme also assures TCP-fairness of mHIP. Having achieved the fairness of mHIP subflows in sharing the common bottleneck links we now can relax the original assumption that the paths should necessarily be bottleneck-disjoint.

The work could be extended to find a method to dynamically adjust mHIP congestion control variables and enable adaptivity to random congestion scenarios including extreme cases. We will continue examining mHIP friendliness in competition against different transport protocols and compare the results against the alternative multipath proposals.
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Peer-to-Peer VoD: Streaming or Progressive Downloading?

Attila Körösi and Balázs Székely

Abstract—In this paper we compare the necessary server load of a generic Peer-to-Peer supported Video-on-Demand service in peak hours for two server delivery methods, streaming and elastic progressive downloading. We focus on the playback fault free scenarios. A simple user model is used for the VCR (Video Cassette Recording) actions behavior and for the behavior as an uploader peer. Based on analytical results we identify those settings in which streaming works better. This gives the opportunity for the operator to choose between the delivery methods.

Index Terms—Peer-to-Peer, Video-on-Demand, VCR, Progressive downloading, Streaming

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, wide range of video services have been introduced generating substantial network traffic. A potential killer video service is True Video-on-Demand (TVoD) endowed with VCR (Video Cassette Recording) options.1 While, VoD services gain foothold one can observe improving performance close to the subscribers such as increasing bandwidth and storage capacity. These improving capacities are exploited by Peer-to-Peer supported VoD services, by existing solutions [1]–[3] and by models investigated in the literature e.g. [4]–[7].

There are two possibilities for delivering TVoD content from the server to the users: streaming and progressive downloading. In P2P-VoD system with streaming solutions the clients download the video content with a speed close to the bitrate of the video. This speed is the sum of the download speed from the server and from other clients. With progressive downloading the clients download the video with the highest speed possible between the server and the receiver. The speed achieved by progressive downloading is typically determined by one of these bottlenecks: the sending capacity of the server and the last mile link.

In this paper, we are investigating the circumstances that make streaming or progressive downloading more bandwidth efficient for transmitting the videos if Peer-to-peer like uploads support the system. Note that this problem has been investigated without Peer-to-Peer support in [8]. As far as we know, except this paper and [8] there is no such a comparison available in the literature. If VCR actions are not allowed and there is a significant peak in the daily popularity, then progressive downloading is the better choice because the downloads of the clients that started the playback before the peak finish earlier because of the higher download speed before the peak. However, in this paper we compare the two delivery methods from the bandwidth consumption point of view if VCR actions are allowed. In Section II assumptions of the model are discussed and the analytical formulas are derived helping to compare the delivery methods. In Section III we present the practical consequences of the analysis.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND THE REQUIRED SERVER CAPACITIES

A. Assumptions

We suppose that the VoD service is a high quality service, that is, failure in the play-back is not allowed.

Let us consider a video with length $L$ from the video library of the operator. We assume that on a given day $N$ subscribers watch this video according to the daily starting intensity function $I(t)$. We suppose that $I(t)$ is periodic with a period of 24 hours, differentiable, and for any $t$ $\int_{t}^{t+24} I(t) dt = 1$. Starting intensity means that in the time interval $[a,b]$ $N \cdot \int_{a}^{b} I(t) dt$ subscribers start watching the video. We also suppose that the client downloads the video until either the whole video is downloaded or the client stops the connection.

We suppose that the video is CBR coded with bitrate $\rho$. Note that several papers [9]–[11] have shown that VBR coded videos can also be considered as CBR coded.

We assume that the upload capacities of the clients are utilized maximally. This means that if the aggregated upload capacity of the clients at time $t$ is $U(t)$ then the server is offloaded by $U(t)$. We also assume that the capacity $U(t)$ is shared equally among the current downloading, that is, the bandwidth share that a downloader obtains from the uploader clients is $u(t) = U(t)/N(t)$, where $N(t)$ denotes the number of active downloaders at time $t$.

If the video is delivered via streaming, then the aggregated download speed (the download coming from the server and the client) of a video on the downloader downlink is considered to be $\rho$. Using proper sliding window algorithm [4], $\rho - u(t)$ is enough server speed for the vast majority of the download
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1In True VoD services the users experiment very short time between the video request and the starting of the video. Common VCR options are pause, rewind or fast forward.
time for achieving fault-free playback with high probability [4].

If the video is delivered using elastic progressive downloading (EPD), then three factors limit the download speed from the server, (i) the download limit assigned to the service on the first mile, (ii) the upload share \( u(t) \) that the downloaders obtain from other clients at time \( t \). Consequently, the download speed from the server on the downlink can not be larger than \( d - u(t) \). Further, (iii) the capacity of the central server \( S \), so the download speed from the server of a downloader cannot be larger than \( \frac{d - u(t)}{N} \) assuming equally distributed server capacity.

B. User model

In this subsection, we describe those user characteristics that are relevant when comparing the bandwidth consumption of the delivery methods.

We use two user characteristics that have already been introduced in [8]. In that paper the authors compare the delivery methods based on the user behavior with respect to the VCR actions if client uploads do not support the system. Two parameters that describe the user behavior during watching videos are the average viewing time \( l \) and the average ratio of the time spent by playing new parts \( b \). \( l \) is the average time elapsed between the start action and the stop action called. \( b \) is the average ratio of the time spent by playing new parts from the video and the entire viewing time. \( b \) is called “slowing down” parameter because it is small if the users apply backward jumps or pauses. The connection between \( b \) and \( l \) is described in (2).

If client uploads support the system, then we have to introduce two additional parameters for describing the behavior of the clients as uploaders. The first parameter is the average upload bandwidth capacity which is denoted by \( u \). The second parameter is the ratio of the non-active clients (currently do not watch any video) who do not turn off their STBs over all non-active clients. This parameter is denoted by \( \alpha \).

We note that the bandwidth share \( u(t) \) that a downloader obtains from the uploaders, defined in the previous subsection, can be written as follows

\[
u(t) = u \cdot \frac{N(t) + \alpha(N - N(t))}{N(t)}.
\]

C. The minimal required server capacities

In this subsection, we will determine the minimal required server capacities in the case of both delivery methods. First of all, we summarize the notation:

- \( \rho \) and \( L \): the bitrate and the length of the video,
- \( N \): number of subscribers,
- \( d \) and \( u \): users’ download and upload bandwidth capacities on the last mile assigned to the video service,
- \( \alpha \): the rate of those clients who share its upload capacity but are not active in watching video among the clients who are not active,
- \( l(t) \): the daily starting intensity function,
- \( \tau \): the time delay of the start action,
- \( \tau \): the average time between the start action and the stop action,
- \( \tau \): the average ratio of the time spent by playing new parts from the video and the entire viewing time,
- \( \alpha \): the rate of those clients who share its upload capacity but are not active in watching video among the clients who are not active,
- \( \tau \): the daily starting intensity function,
- \( \tau \): the average ratio of the time spent by playing new parts from the video and the entire viewing time.

The minimal required server capacity in the case of EPD is defined as

\[
\left( \frac{d - u(t)}{N} \right) + N \int_{t}^{t+\tau(l)} I(\tau) d\tau.
\]

In (2), \( y(t) \) denotes the length of time in which the whole L long video can be downloaded by time \( t \). From the point of the downloaded data the connection between the functions \( N(t) \) and \( y(t) \) is described in (2). Equation (3) expresses that the active clients at time \( t \) are those who started their download after \( t - y(t) \) and are still watching the video (see the definition of \( l(t) \)).

The system of equations (2) and (3) can not be solved explicitly for \( N(t) \). However, approximate solution with given accuracy can be reached, which converges to the exact solution.

Since the service does not allow play-back fault \( S/N(t) \geq \rho - u(t) \) has to hold for each user. Therefore, the required minimal server capacity can be written as follows:

\[
S_{\text{epd}} = \min \{ S : \forall t, S/N(t) + u(t) \geq \rho \}.
\]

Thus, the server load at time \( t \) with server having the minimally required capacity \( S_{\text{epd}} \) can be calculated as follows:

\[
A_{\text{epd}}(t) = \max \left\{ 0, (d - u(t)) \cdot \frac{S_{\text{epd}}}{N(t)} \right\}.
\]
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Further, similarly as in the streaming case the number of bits that are downloaded daily from the server $D_{epd}$ can be written as follows

$$D_{epd} = \int A_{epd}(t) \, dt.$$  

III. PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES

In this section, we make several observations of practical relevance, that is, we investigate which delivery method performs better for certain parameter set-up.

If it is not mentioned in the investigated scenarios below, then the following parameter set up will be used: $\rho = 3$ Mbps, $d = 2\rho$, $b = 0.9$; $L = 100$ minutes, $l = 0.8L$, $\alpha = 0.2$. We fix a starting intensity function $I(t)$ given in Figure 1 and we will use it to derive the following results. It is a typical intensity function in a local service provider network, similar to the one found in [12].

Observation 1: (On the effect of the viewing time parameter ($l/L$) and the slowing down parameter ($b$))

$S_{epd}$ is a growing function of both the viewing time parameter ($l/L$) and the slowing down parameter ($b$), see Figure 2. Without any client upload support the same conclusion was drawn, see [8]. It can be seen in both cases (with and without client support) that streaming is the better choice if $b$ and $l/L$ is close to 1, that is, the majority of the users watch the entire video without any interaction. Practically, this usually holds for popular videos [13].

In Figure 2 it can be observed that the effect of the size of the client upload ($u = 0$, $u = 0.5$, $u = 1$ in the figure) is significant but the region in which streaming is better (the upper corner of the surfaces, above the thick curves) does not change significantly if the upload capacity increases.

Observation 2: (Finer study of $S_{epd}$ as a function of $l/L$)

If the viewing time parameter ($l/L$) increases then the required server capacity ($S_{epd}$) increases until it takes its maximum, then $S_{epd}$ decreases. See Figure 3 for the graphs of this function under several fixed downlink capacities. This phenomenon is reasonable since if the video has not been fully downloaded, then the minimal server capacity in the EPD case ($S_{epd}$) is a growing function of $l/L$ until some point $M_d$ where the maximum is taken. We do not have explicit formula for $M_d$. On the right hand side of $M_d$ $S_{epd}$ decreases. This case, $l/L > M_d$ corresponds to that of several clients having finished their downloads but they still in the system hence they contribute their upload capacities offloading the server. Before $M_d$ the offloading property of the client is not stronger than their download bandwidth demand. $M_d$ is a decreasing function of $d$ since the clients can download the video earlier with larger $d$ and hence become “server offloader” sooner.

In this way, we have showed that if the operator choose EPD, then by increasing the downlink capacity the server capacity can be decreased.

Observation 3: (On the green-conscious subscribers)

If the number of ON STBs ($\alpha$) increases, the minimal required server capacity decreases for both delivery methods. Thus, the more user leave their STBs on the lower capacities are necessary. Indeed, the effect of the change $\alpha$ on $u(t)$ is similar to that of $u$ since in equation (1) $u(t)$ is a linear function of $u$ and $\alpha$.

Observation 4: (On the amount of downloaded data)

The consequence of Observation 1 is the same in qualitative, if we investigate the ratio $D_s/D_{epd}$ of the amount of downloaded data from the server, see Figure 4. That is, $D_s/D_{epd}$ is increasing in both $b$ and $l/L$. The region where EPD is better is not sensitive to the size of the client upload capacity. Further, the region where EPD is better is smaller than in the case when the bandwidths were compared in Observation 1.

Observation 5: (On almost constant starting intensity)

If the starting intensity function $I$ of the video is constant then $N(t)$ and $y(t)$ are constant in Eq. (2) and (3). Computing
of his means that streaming is always the better choice independently of the other parameters including the upload capacity of the clients. This property has already been observed in [8] without any client upload.

IV. SUMMARY

The main conclusion of the paper is that comparing the systems with and without supporting client upload the results, which delivery method performs better, are the same in qualitative but different in quantitative. This is reason why the main property of a VoD system without supporting client upload remains valid: If the content is not educational and there is a significant peak in the daily popularity, then progressive downloading is a better choice than streaming. Otherwise, streaming performs better. Further, many in-depth observations have been made such as if the upload speeds of the clients increase, the provider should choose streaming instead of EPD, or if the operator choose EPD, then by increasing the downlink capacity the server capacity can be decreased.
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Abstract — In the last ten years there were intensive researches to find new methods for handling internet image processing and distributed databases. One of the many methods is the Mojette transform (MT). The MT is used mainly in image processing applications, but can be used also for distributed databases as well. The MT implemented under different operating systems and for different processors presented in helps the performance analyses of the MT and also let to conclude the need for reconfigurable hardware implementation. The Mojette Transformation Tool (MTTool) is an implementation of MT and inverse MT (IMT) in .Net environment. The software development provides us with the endless possibility of different variations of the MT implementation in a shorter time frame and on lower costs. Also the testing with such a tool is much easier and it’s also better for demonstration and training purposes. There is also analyzed the hardware implementation of MT and IMT based on Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) using reconfigurable platform. The paper tries to conclude the necessity for hardware implementation for real time processing. The paper outline the development work in order to create an embedded reconfigurable hardware based on FPGA board.

Index Terms — Mojette Transform, MTTool, image processing, distributed databases, FPGA, embedded systems, MoTIMoT co-processor

I. INTRODUCTION

The Mojette Transform (MT) is originated from France where J-P. Guédéon named it according an old French class of white beans, which were used to teach children computing basics of arithmetic with simple addition and subtraction [1-5]. He named MT after the analogy of beans and bins. The bins contain the sum of pixel values of the respective projection line [1]. There are several different variations of MT applications nowadays which are used in different areas such as tomography [2], internet distributed data bases [3], encoding, multimedia error correction [4,5,7], or The Mojette Transform Tool (MTTool) which was created for testing purposes, by the way it can also be used for demonstrations and training purposes as well.

However the MTTool development isn’t finished yet we already gained many experiences with it, and we see how it could become more helpful for further projects both in software and in hardware development in the area of MT applications. So the main purpose to build such an environment was that with the help of it we could try to compare the software implementation of the MT later on with the hardware implementations.

In the first section we introduce the mathematical background of the MT and the IMT briefly and this is followed by the description of the transforms implementation in several versions, concentrated not on the stodgy programming stuff rather on a basic description.

Next we share some of our test results which were focusing on the time consumption of the MT and IMT and after that we also introduce the differences between the first and the other versions from the Graphical User Interface point of view illustrated with some figures as well.

Until today the aim of the researches was software implementation of the MT and IMT [1-5,7]. This kind of implementation can be used only on still images, because they cannot process the data in real-time. Motion pictures, video streams and distributed data bases require run-time processing of the frames. Only specialized hardware or embedded systems with real-time operating systems can ensure this.

This paper presents one implementation method to implement the MT and IMT in Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) using reconfigurable platform.

II. MOJETTE AND INVERSE MOJETTE TRANSFORM

A. Mojette Transform

The main idea behind the Mojette transform - MT (similarly to the Radon transform) is to calculate a group of projections on an image block [5]. The MT [11,16,17] projects the original digital 2D image:

\[ F = \{ F(i,j) ; i = 1, ..., N; j = 1, ..., M \} \quad (1) \]

to a set of K discrete 1D projections with:

\[ M = \{ M_k(1) ; k = 1, ..., K; 1 = 1, ..., L \} \quad (2) \]

The MT is an exact discrete Radon transform defined for a set \( S = \{ p_0, q_0, k \} \) specific projections angles:

\[ M_k(l) = \text{proj}(p_l, q_k, b_l) = \sum_{i,j,l} F(i,j) \delta(b_l - i q_k - j p_l) \quad (3) \]
where \( \text{proj}(p_x q_y b) \) defines the projection lines \( p_x, q_y \), and \( \delta(x) \) is the Dirac delta in the form:

\[
\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } -x = 0 \\
0, & \text{if } -x = 1
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[ L = \{ (i, j) : b_l - iq_k - jp_k = 0 \} \]

is a digital bin in the direction \( \theta_l \) and on the set \( b_l \).

So the projection operator sums up all pixels values which centers are intersected by the discrete projection line \( l \). The restriction of angle \( \theta_l \) leads both to a different sampling and to a different number of bins in each projection \((p_x, q_y)\). For a projection defined by \( \theta_l \), the number of bins \( n_l \) can be calculated by:

\[ n_l = (N-1)|p_l| + (M-1)|q_l| + 1 \tag{6} \]

The direct MT is depicted in Fig. 1 for a 4x4 pixel image. The set of three directions \( L = \{(-1,2),(1,1),(0,-1)\} \) is resulting 20 bins.

The MT can be performed by directly addition of the image pixel values in grey scale images and for bitmaps we can add the different bitmap color table values.

B. Inverse Mojette Transform

Computing Inverse Mojette Transform (IMT), bins are back-projected. A single pixel-bin correspondence must be found at each iteration cycle in order to reconstruct a pixel value. When it has been done, this pixel value is substituted in the adequate coordinate of a blank image and subtracted from the corresponding bins in each projection of the original image. To find this single pixel-bin correspondence examination of the projections of unary image is necessary. The bin values in those projections show the number of pixels which are stored in the given bin. Therefore the modification of the unary projections has to be done parallel (Fig. 2). The IMT is iterating this process until the image is completely reconstructed. For the reconstruction both projection sets needed (one is the MT of the image and the other is the MT of a unary image where each pixel value is 1). Fig. 3 shows the first step of the reconstruction process.

\[ K \leq P = \sum_{i=1}^{l} |p_i| \quad \text{or} \quad L \leq Q = \sum_{i=1}^{l} |q_i| \tag{7} \]

where \( K \) and \( L \) are the dimensions of the image \( p \), the horizontal projection coordinate \( x \) axis) and \( q \), the vertical projection coordinate \( y \) axis).

The condition of reconstruction ensures that the number of \( b \) \((N_b)\) is greater than the number of pixels \((N_p)\) therefore the number of equations will be larger than the number of unknown variables during the reconstruction process. At the same time the condition of reconstruction answers the question whether is any projection in the projection set which is unnecessary to reconstruct the original image.

MT for binary image of simply binary data is defined with the operations „AND“ and „XOR“ respectively instead of the multiplication „·“ and addition „+“ \([1,5,11] \).
III. MOJETTE TRANSFORM IN MTTOOL

In MTTool the implementation of the MT was applied in three different ways [15-17]. This is due to the fact that this application is still in development and the three different ways were constructed not in the same time but in the last years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Image Format</th>
<th>Projections</th>
<th>MT and IMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PGM</td>
<td>p=[1,3,3]; q=[quarter of the image size]</td>
<td>Addition and subtraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. The First Version

In the first release the declaration of some rules, which had to be somehow flexible and at the same time they shouldn’t be very complex was one of the hardest to decide. We had to declare the image sizes with which we had to work later and to look for useful relation between the picture size and the vectors we use in the MT and IMT. After calculating with several file sizes, it was clear we had to choose it so, that it should be easy to remember and have something common with all the other images as well. We decided to take the picture size 2nx2n where n is equal to 8 and 9, but can be changed later on easily. So the picture size is 256 x 256 and 512 x 512. In the Picture Preview we can open and display any kind of PGM or BMP file it doesn’t matter which size the picture got, but some of the images are increased or decreased to fit on the screen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I: IMAGE DISPLAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600 x 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1599 x 1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024 x 768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height &lt; 1024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first step in the MT after checking the restrictions is to make a vector from the pixels of the image. To define the size of this vector is easy, when we are following this simple rule (1, 2\textsuperscript{n} x 2\textsuperscript{n}). If n=8 this results the vector (1, 65536), in which every line contains a pixel value from the picture. Because the PGM picture is a 256 grayscale image, a PGM file contains just pixel values from 0 to 255. In case of a BMP image we could process it three times because of the different bitmap color table values. [13,14]

In the second step we are executing the MT. The vector p is predefined for the four projection directions and the q vector has the same value in each four case (quarter size of the 2\textsuperscript{n} image). We generate four files for the four different projections, these are the following:

1) originalfilename.pgm.moj1 (1, q) 1)
2) originalfilename.pgm.moj1 (-1, q) 2)
3) originalfilename.pgm.moj1 (3, q) 3)
4) originalfilename.pgm.moj1 (-3, q) 4)

From the existing MT files (moj1,…, moj4) we get the original PGM picture with the IMT. All of the four MT files are needed in this case to rebuild the original image completely without any error. If any of the MT files is defect or incomplete the IMT doesn’t give back the original image. Each of the four files is containing a vector described above. The next step of the IMT is, to read the first and last vectors of the third and forth MT files and put it on their place. So we have in all four corner of the picture filled up with the valid pixel values. Step 1, 2, 3 and 4 on the following figure:

![Fig.4. First 30 steps of the IMT.](image_url)

After recreating the pixel values we only need to add the new header for the file and the restoration of the original image is already performed.

B. The Second and Third Version

These solutions are differing from the previous one in such a way that these are applied on BMP images and in these cases we are performing the MT and IMT on the three different bitmap color table. We use the same algorithm for the three different color maps and collecting the bins into 3 separate files which are differing only in their extensions and of course in their content. On the bitmap images we are using the directions $S_1=[(2,1),(-2,1)]$ and $S_2=[(3,1),(-3,1)]$ for the block sizes 4 and 8. Although the MT is also prepared for the block size 16 and 32 but the implementation of the IMT isn’t done yet. In the second version we use simple addition and subtraction different from the one mentioned in the first version, since here we have block sizes 4 and 8 and there we are performing the MT and IMT on the whole image at once and not step by step. In the third version instead of addition and subtraction we use matrices for the MT and IMT on the above mentioned block sizes. The MT with matrices is implemented in the following way, where $b_i$ is the bin resulted from the following equation:
The inverse matrix for the previous example (for the 4x4 matrix size) is implemented as it’s showed on the next equation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\
    a_5 & a_6 & a_7 & a_8 \\
    a_9 & a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} \\
    a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    10 \\
    123 \\
    37 \\
    137 \\
    254 \\
    319 \\
    433 \\
    68 \\
    6 \\
    8 \\
    178 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(8)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    b_1 \\
    b_2 \\
    b_3 \\
    b_4 \\
    b_5 \\
    b_6 \\
    b_7 \\
    b_8 \\
    b_9 \\
    b_{10}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    10 \\
    123 \\
    37 \\
    137 \\
    254 \\
    319 \\
    433 \\
    68 \\
    6 \\
    8 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(9)

IV. EXPERIMENTS WITH MTTOOL

With the built in ZIP and Huffman coding opportunities (Fig. 5) we can decrease the size of any vectors which are created from the projections of MT [13-17]. The Huffman lossless encoding and decoding algorithm was chosen due to it’s binary block encoding attribute and not because of it’s compression capability. Good data compression can be achieved with Zip and Unzip which is also implemented. The possibility of time measuring with simple tools, such as labels or easily generated text files which are including the test results can give us a good insight into the MT and IMT. From these results we can estimate and predict the consumed time on hardware implementation and also the cost of it.

![Logical system architecture of the MTTool](image)

The time measurement was applied on three different images with three different image sizes and with three different periods. The images were a black and white PGM files with pixel values of 0 and 255 and the LENA.PGM. First test ran only once, after that the second test was running for 6 times in a row, and the last test was running 24 times. Each test was performed on the sizes of 16x16, 32x32 and on 512x512. the results of the two smallest image sizes are nearly identical and the result were nearly always under 20 millisecond for MT and IMT, but on the 512x512 image size we could see the following difference:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMAGE</th>
<th>Black (512x512)</th>
<th>White (512x512)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minute: MT and</td>
<td>Minute: MT and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IMT in Milisec</td>
<td>IMT in Milisec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ond</td>
<td>ond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT start</td>
<td>57:14:277</td>
<td>0,162528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT end</td>
<td>57:14:277</td>
<td>0,162528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT start</td>
<td>57:16:299</td>
<td>0,183656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT end</td>
<td>57:16:299</td>
<td>0,183656</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From this we can see that the difference between the black and the white images is more than 50 percent, when it comes to the MT, and only 20 percent when we apply the IMT on the Mojette files.

V. USER INTERFACE OF THE MTTOOL

Basically the MTTool program surface is bade on MDI structure of Microsoft. The parent window contains the main menu and nearly all child windows are opened here. The only exception is the Help/Contents (help.html) which appears outside from the parent window in the default internet browser application.

At the beginning the main goal of the implementation was to represent both the original and the restored image together with the Mojette files which contained the bins of the Mojette transform described in [11,13-17]. We created two image boxes to show the “before and after” images for the user, and we duplicated the information on the two tabbed bars to help the users in comparing the original values, the projections and the inverse values to each other. On the Fig. 6. we can see, the amount of information was too much to check it visually even if the different projections were separated not only by their colors but also were listed after each other. Due to the image size of 512 x 512 we had too many bins to display. That’s also one of the many things we decided to make different versions as well.
Multiple images in multiple instances can be opened so we are able to compare them not only by the image itself but also by their properties as well we can see the following information from the pictures such as: Original size, Colors used, Pixel size, Size of the DIB-element, Actual size and Last write time.

Later on we developed the Mojette transform in a different way and this gave us the opportunity to create a new interface as well for them (Fig. 7). On an image we can perform the Mojette Transform (Block) with the help of the following child window, where all the steps are visible for a better overview. First we have to choose between two differently based Mojette Transform type such as Algorithm and Matrix. After that we can choose from the predefined Block sizes, which we want to use to perform the MT. Here we will automatically see the Number of blocks, Number of projections, the Projections and the number of bins used for the chosen Block size. If both parameters are set we can click the Next button. As soon as we pushed the Next button we will see the result of the MT with the created Red, Green and Blue bin values grouped together and ordered by the blocks. Here we can check the values of each Block where the MT was performed, and see what are the bin values stored in these Blocks.

Mojette block window (Fig. 7) represents the blocked version of the MT. As it can be seen we can choose between Matrix and Algorithm versions with different block sizes from 4 up to 32 from this and from the hardcoded Projections the other values are calculated.

Although this information is also stored in a file we are reading it out from the memory. With the help of Show button and the X and Y values we can choose and display the different Blocks and bin values. By hitting the Next button we can create the bin file which contains all the data presented in the step before. Here we have the opportunity to add error to the Blocks, which will result vertical lines in the reconstructed image from the faulty bin file. However we can only see this error on the image if we reconstruct the bin into a BMP file with the IMT which can transform the bin file automatically, so we don’t need to set the IMT like we had to do it with the MT.

The Inverse Mojette (Block) window (Fig. 8) shows us some information about the restored image, we can see that the restored image on the right contains error. This was caused in the Mojette Transform phase where we overwrite the value 0 in the ‘Max. errorbins/block’ field to add some noise to the Mojette Transform. We can also gain information about the MT which was performed on the image.

VI. LOW POWER – LOW COMPLEXITY HW DESIGN METHODOLOGY FOR SELECTED ALGORITHM- MT AND IMT

Power consumption is a very important question of our days. Many energy saving technologies and techniques were developed in different fields. The spread of portable computers and communication devices inspires the developers to design low power consumption devices (CPUs, storage devices, etc.) [8]. In the computer technology there are several options when low power consumption devices are needed (DSPs, µPs). One of these options is using field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) [9].

The “MOTIMOT” co-processor denotes the hardware implementation of the direct and inverse Mojette transform as co-processing elements of an embedded processor [12,16,17].
To construct a new hardware for a special task is difficult and expensive both in time and costs [8,10]. Estimating the hardware and software needs to implement a MOTIMoT processing system there is necessary to map the tasks what such a system should implement. These tasks are not limited to the direct and inverse Mojette transformation, but also possess embedded computer functions with or without (depends on the application) real time operating system kernel. Fig. 9 shows the functional block scheme of the MoTIMoT co-processor. Both of the Virtex II PRO and Virtex IV FPGAs (FPGAs used for implementation) contain an embedded power PC 405 RISC processor (PPC) [9]. This general purpose processor can manage the MoTIMoT hardware, its driver and the incoming and outgoing data. The MT and IMT blocks are connected to the PPC via the processor local bus (PLB).

![Fig.9. Block schema of MoTIMoT co-processor.](image)

Probably calculation of MT or IMT of an image is not necessary in the same time, therefore only one of the configuration files is loaded into the device. At this point we can use the advantage of partial run-time reconfiguration.

The images are received in real-time through an Ethernet connection or from a digital camera connected to the platform via an USB port, after processing the image or the frames these are returned to the sender or sent to a client (PC, PDA, etc.). On the motherboard there is an external on board memory with the size of 512 MB. In our days this resource is available on almost any mobile devices. There are several types of cellular phones which contain place for a micro secure digital card.

A. Low complexity system design for stepping window method

Fig. 10 shows the symbolic logic circuitry of MT on a 4x4 image. The input registers (IR$_{x,y}$, x = 1, .., 4; y = 1, .., 4) contain the values of pixels of the image while the output registers (OR$_{x,y}$, i = 1, .., 3; j = 1, .., max_bin_number) contain the values of bins. Three projection lines (1,1, -1,1; 1,2) gives an adequate set of bins and the set of projections meets the reconstruction criteria. The Mojette operator is the XOR logic operator and the different color (greyscale) of the line means separate the three projection lines and their bins. Fig. 10 represents the MT of a 4x4 pixel size image. This size do not meet with real image sizes of coarse, even so the 4x4 pixel size window is usable in the stepping window method. The register size depends on the width of input data (byte, word, double word) but notice that the larger the data width the more hardware resources are required.

The symbolized logic circuit of the reconstruction (IMT) is depicted in Fig. 11. The pixels can be calculated in two ways. At first every pixel value calculable from bin values only and secondly a pixel value is calculable from bin values and the already calculated pixel values. The second version gives more simple (low-complexity) hardware. In Fig. 11 the input registers (IR) contain the bin-values, the output registers (OR) contain the original pixel-values, while the IMT operator is the XOR as in the MT was. The number of registers is the same in both cases (MT and IMT).

As a matter of fact the number of input registers can be smaller than the number of bins because of the redundancy.

The single pixel-bin correspondences (most of projection lines contain some) give the original pixel value without any calculation this is called zero level. Other bins contain more pixel values. The number of XOR operations need to be performed on them to get the original pixel value is the number of its level. In this case there are five levels from zero to four. In software solution it means a cycle ("for") from zero to five and every cycle contains another cycle ("for") from one to $n_b$ where $n_b$ is the number of bins on the same level. If the window is chosen larger the number of level will increase with it. Using the already calculated pixel values the complexity of the whole system will increase also of course. However increasing of complexity will be slower then when only the bin values are used in the calculations.

![Fig.10. Logical model of the MT on a 4x4 image](image)
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Fig.11. Symbolized logic circuitry of a 4x4 size image reconstruction

Definitely there is a window size limit (WSL) for every FPGA chip. The WSL is the block size what the given FPGA can process in parallel. The WSL depends on the number of logic cells in the given chip and other resources. To enlarge the window size above to the WSL, more FPGA chips must be applied. Next figure (Fig.12) shows a MT/IMT computing system. The data source means image or other types of data pre-processing before the MT is not necessary. Post-processing after the MT can be any kind of lossless compression method to decrease the redundancy. Data sink can be a storage device (e.g. PC). In the decoding process the data source is the file of projection lines. Pre-processing is necessary (uncompress the files). Post processing is not necessary here. The data sink in this case is the user’s application.

As it is shown in Fig. 12 when larger window size must chosen then one need to multiply the WSL hardware. The sub-picture limited by the broken line shows a multi-chip MT/IMT system. The distribution and merge of data (by the multiplexer and demultiplexer units) also managed by an FPGA chip or if the hardware resources of the FPGA chip allows it, can managed by the on-chip general purpose processor (e.g.: the PPC in the Xilinx Virtex II Pro FPGA). A four FPGA solution of the stepping window method for MT is depicted in Fig. 13. The sub-window size is fit to the possibilities of the given FPGA and can not be larger than the WSL.

Fig.12 MT/IMT system block-schema

With this method the window size (area) equal to the window size limit (area) multiplied by four (if n FPGAs are in use of course the WSL will be multiplied by n). It results shorter computing time but larger power consumption. To find the balance between the power consumption and speed, it is necessary to known where the algorithm of the Mojette transform will be used.

B. Low complexity system design for sliding window method

The sliding window method differs to the stepping window method in its basic. At the stepping window method as it is suggested by its name, there are no common pixels of the windows in two neighbor steps. Contrarily the sliding window method moves the window only with one row or column (depends on the direction of processing) forward. It means most of the pixels are common in two windows, which are neighbors of each other.

Another difference compared to the stepping window method that while at the stepping window method the MT/IMT computing is one single step, the MT/IMT computing has two parts at the sliding window method. First part is to calculate the final value of the given bins/pixels and calculate the next temporal value of the other bins/pixels in the window and second part is to move the data: write out the final values, move the temporal values into the corresponding registers and read in new data.

Fig. 14 shows the symbolic logic circuitry of the sliding window method where \( p_i = \{1, -1, 3, -3\} \) and \( q_i = 1 \). The virtual image size is defined by \( P \) and \( Q \) where \( Q = 4 \) and \( P = \) file size/\( Q \). This means that the size of sliding window is chosen independently the file size. The size of sliding window is given by the hardware resources (number of logic circuits, memory, etc.) of the given FPGA.

Note if \( q \) is chosen larger (\( gs=2 \)) the logic circuitry and the size of sliding window (number of registers) will be multiplied by the new \( q \) value. When larger window size is required then the WSL, more FPGAs can be used. Four FPGAs using system is depicted in Fig. 15.
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Fig. 14 Symbolic logic circuitry for sliding window method.

Each of the FPGAs contain the same logic circuitry and the size of WSL is the same but the virtual WSL of the whole system is four times larger than the WSL of an individual FPGA. Every FPGA processes a four word width data stream transparently, so the whole system processes a sixteen word width data stream. The projection coordinate $q$ is equal to one in every projection of every FPGA, therefore the corresponding projection lines are merged into one line. Four FPGAs and four projection lines give sixteen projection lines. The merge of the mentioned projections will result four bin vectors where the resultant $q$ coordinate is equal to four ($q = 4$). This way the permanently processed data width is multiplied by four. The IMT computing system will reconstruct the original data whether it contains only one FPGA (a larger one) or four FPGAs.

Fig. 16 shows the merge process after the MT computing. Every FPGA has four outputs (four bin vectors). As it is depicted in the above mentioned figure the corresponding bin vectors of the FPGAs are merged into one bin vector.

C. IMT

The logic circuitry of the IMT compared with the MT shows that the reconstruction process is more complicated. There are single-pixel bin correspondences which result pixel values very simply but the bins of every other projection line need correction. It is necessary, because the bin value corrections generate new single-pixel bin correspondences and ensures the continuity of the reconstruction process.

The symbolic logic circuitry of the IMT computing SLW co-processor is depicted in Fig. 17. The picture does not show the total system only a part of it. In the image $P_i$ ($i = 1, 8$) means the reconstructed pixel values, $PL_i$ ($i = 1, 4$) are the projection lines, while the rectangles above them represents the bins of the projection lines. In the image “tr” means temporary register which are necessary for the second step, the bin value correction. The outputs of Unit 1 are the reconstructed pixel values and it has an enable input. Unit 2 gives the bin values after the correction and works with the same enable signal as the Unit 1. In the image (Fig. 17) the bit correction unit is depicted only for one projection line but the other three units are very similar. After the bin correction the new values are stored in temporary registers.

In the second phase the correct bin values from the temporary registers are written back to the original registers. The third phase is to slide the window. Move the values of the register forward by two places, and read in new data.

The MT and IMT functions are implemented as separate hardware co-processors of the main processor. This is possible in two ways. The main processor can be an off-chip or an on-chip solution. The implemented algorithms are realized as separate co-processors and they work either in parallel or using run-time reconfiguration (This method was not tested yet) using relatively low working frequencies (100-300 MHz). This way can be obtained very high processing speeds.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The paper outlined the different ways, how the MT and IMT is currently implemented in the MTTool. In the MTTool software version more tests should be performed to get more
Mojette Transform Software – Hardware Implementations and its Applications

accurate results, and possible directions should be introduced in near future how the MT should be implemented in a hardware platform. There was presented the hardware implementation of MT and IMT in FPGAs using parallel implementation of the Mojette algorithm. For complex 256x256 size images the MT/IMT can be implemented using multiple FPGA chips as MoTIMoT co-processor.
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The Week when Budapest Becomes the ICT Capital of the World

Rolland Vida, Head of International Affairs, HTE

Between May 15–20, 2011, Budapest will become the ICT capital of the world, hosting a series of high-standard scientific events related to Information and Communication Technologies and the Future of the Internet, attracting the world’s top researchers in these fields and a large international audience. But let us summarize briefly the different elements of this extremely busy and interesting week that awaits us.

The 73rd IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference – VTC2011-Spring
15-18 May 2011, Budapest, Hungary

The 73rd IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference will be held 15-18 May 2011 at the Budapest Marriott Hotel. The IEEE VTC conferences have established themselves over the last six decades as one of the main international conferences in vehicular mobile and wireless communications. They are conducted twice each year (Spring and Fall) in key international cities. Budapest follows thus on the steps of Ottawa (Fall 2010), Taipei (Spring 2010), Anchorage (Fall 2009), Barcelona (Spring 2009), Calgary (Fall 2008), Singapore (Spring 2008), Baltimore (Fall 2007), Dublin (Spring 2007), Montreal (Fall 2006) or Melbourne (Spring 2006), and precedes the VTC2011-Fall conference in San Francisco (September 5-8).

The Conference is the flagship event of the IEEE Vehicular Technology Society with over 60 years of history and more than 5000 members. This year’s first VTC conference will propose more than 660 oral and poster presentations grouped into 12 tracks: ad hoc and sensor networks, antennas and propagation, cognitive radio and cooperative communications, mobile applications and services, mobile satellite and positioning systems, multiple antenna systems and space-time processing, signal processing for wireless communications, transmission technologies, transportation, vehicular electronics and telematics, wireless access and wireless networks.

Besides these, the program will also feature keynote talks and panel discussions, involving world-class researchers and highly recognized executives from corporate, government and educational institutions and organizations worldwide. Moreover, the first day of the conference will comprise 6 half-day tutorials, on topics such as green communications and networking, cognitive radio based on UWB technology, participatory sensing crowdsourcing data from mobile smartphones in urban spaces, cooperative active and passive localization and tracking, mobility models and social networks, or low-complexity algorithms for large-MIMO detection. Finally, the same day will feature 5 thematic workshops as well: the International Workshop on Self-Organizing Networks (IWSN), the Second Green Wireless Communications and Networks Workshop (GreeNet), Cognitive radio and Cooperative strategies for POWER saving (C2POWER), Broadband evolved Femtocell Technologies (BeFEMTO), and the First International Workshop on Cross-Layer Operation Aided Multimedia Streaming. The organization of these workshops is partly sponsored by the National Innovation Office (NIH).

For more information, please refer to the conference website: (www.ieeevtc.org/vtc2011spring). Registration is already open.

Future Internet Week
16-19 May 2011, Budapest, Hungary

To accomplish the goals of the EU 2020 Strategy and its flagship initiatives, Innovation Union and Digital Agenda, there is a need for advanced communication infrastructures, information technologies, smart applications and services that should be based on a new generation of networks, on the Internet of the Future. The events of the Future Internet Week in Budapest will investigate the policy, technological, and socio-economic aspects of the Future Internet involving many participants from the industry, academia as well as stakeholders from the European Commission and from the Member States.

During these 4 days, the program will include the following events:
• Future Internet Research & Experimentation (FIRE) event – May 16, 2011;
• Internet of Things Conference – May 16, 2011;
• Future Internet Conference – May 17, 2011;
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- Future Internet Assembly – May 18-19, 2011;
- Future Internet Forum – May 18, 2011;
- The European Network of Living Labs (ENoLL) event – date to be announced;
- Coordination of the European Future Internet Forum of Member States (ceFIMS) workshop – date to be announced.

The Scientific Association for Infocommunications (HTE) is involved as a local organizer of the Future Internet Assembly, an event partly sponsored by the National Innovation Office (NIH). For more details, please visit the Future Internet Week website (www.fi-budapest.eu).

ICT Proposers’ Day
19-20 May 2011,
Budapest, Hungary

The ICT Proposers’ Day is Europe’s largest matchmaking event dedicated to networking and promoting research and development in the fields of Information and Communication Technologies. Participants have the opportunity to learn about funding possibilities in the fields of ICT offered by the European Union, and about the upcoming Calls 8 and 9 of the FP7 ICT Work Programme, offering more than one billion euros of EU funding. The two half-day sessions (Thursday afternoon – Friday morning) will provide academia and business sectors, SMEs and government actors from all over Europe a platform for building partnerships and exchange ideas for participating in calls for proposals. About 2500 participants are expected to attend. In addition, over 100 Commission officials will be present to provide information on the content of the calls for proposals and advice you on how to submit your proposal.

The event is free of charge; registration will open early February 2011. For more details, please visit the event’s website (ec.europa.eu/information_society/events/ictproposersday/2011).

* * *

Besides the fact that in the first half of 2011 Budapest became the Capital of Europe (next to Brussels and Strasbourg) due to Hungary giving the EU presidency, we can also consider it as the ICT capital of world, at least for this much-awaited week in May. We encourage everyone to take this excellent opportunity to meet the world’s and Europe’s leading professionals in the fields of Information and Communication Technologies, and attend these high quality events. We are looking forward to welcoming you in Budapest!
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More information and registration: www.fi-budapest.eu

The 2nd International Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom2011) will be held in Budapest, Hungary, at the premises of the Budapest University of Technology and Economics, in July 7-9, 2011.

Call for papers

Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom) investigates the link between the research areas of infocommunications and cognitive sciences, as well as the various engineering applications which have emerged as the synergic combination of these sciences. The primary goal of CogInfoCom is to provide a systematic view of how cognitive processes can co-exist with infocommunication devices so that the capabilities of the human brain may not only be extended through these devices, irrespective of geographical distance, but may also interact with the capabilities of any artificially cognitive system. This merging and extension of cognitive capabilities is targeted towards engineering applications in which artificial and natural cognitive systems are enabled to work together more effectively.

We invite investigators to submit original research papers as well as review articles on the subjects which will help stimulate further contributions to the research field. Potential topics include, but are not limited to:

- Affective computing
- Augmented cognition (AugCog)
- Cognitive infocommunications
- Cognitive informatics
- Cognitive media
- Cognitive robotics
- Cognitive science
- Etho-engineering
- Etho-informatics
- Human-robot interaction
- Interactive systems engineering
- iSpace research
- Multimodal interaction
- Sensory substitution & sensorimotor extension
- Teleoperation
- Scientific Visualisation
- Virtual Reality Technologies
- Media Informatics

The authors are encouraged to submit full papers describing original, previously unpublished, complete research, not currently under review by another conference or journal, addressing state-of-the-art research and development in all areas of computer networking and data communications. All papers will be reviewed and accepted papers will appear in this conference proceedings, published in IEEE Xplore (confirmation pending).

Papers must be submitted electronically via EDAS in double column IEEE format, 4-6 pages.

Authors of selected outstanding papers will be invited to submit extended versions of their papers for consideration of publication in Infocommunications Journal or Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics.
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